

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT SOCIOLOGY & HUMANITIES



ISSN 2277 – 9809 (online)

ISSN 2348 - 9359 (Print)

An Internationally Indexed Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal

www.IRJMSH.com
www.isarasolutions.com

Published by iSaRa Solutions

"NATO and the Changing Dynamics of International Security: Strategic Responses in the Contemporary World"

By Dr. Robin Hissang

Associate Professor cum Principal
Govt. College Seppa
East Kameng district, Arunachal
Pradesh
robinhissang@gmail.com

Abstract: Obviously, NATO is a significant contributor to security in the international arena, even in the face of shifting geopolitical circumstances. In addition to fears about new security threats, security dynamics between allies and adversaries, cyber warfare, terror and hybrid war, and shifts in military technology, have spurred NATO to reconsider its traditional strategies. This sets out analyses of NATO's evolution in the context of current developments in international security and its strategic responses to the new challenges that the Alliance faces. Making the case for NATO shifting from a traditional military alliance to a broader security partnership grounded in collective defence, technological evolution, cooperation and crisis management, it urges NATO to step up as an emergency mediator to restore order in crisis. It suggests that NATO is becoming a confluence of the old and the new; and how NATO can emerge as an emergency mediation entity to bring order in a crisis situation; and urges NATO to step up as an emergency mediator to bring order in a crisis situation.

Keywords: NATO; International Security; Collective Defence; Geopolitics; Cybersecurity; Hybrid Warfare; Strategic Response

Introduction:

In recent decades an enormous shift in the international security landscape has taken place. Post-Cold War expectations of a more peaceful and cooperative international system have failed to materialize in the wake of recent international events, leaving the dangers of global security far from certain and simple. Military threats remain and new security challenges include cyberattacks, terrorist attacks, and disinformation, amongst others, including hybrid warfare, technological competition and instability in various areas of the world. These changes have put new demands on international security actors and prompted them to reevaluate their strategies and priorities.

A key role in this evolving security climate is played by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). The founding of NATO in 1949 was a response to early Cold War security threats to NATO as a collective defence alliance. No one should be deemed the head of an enemy. If one member of an enemy attacked another, ALL members should be taken as an enemy attacked them. Despite the evolution of the international environment since its inception, collective defence is one

of NATO's core functions. Meanwhile, the organisation has broadened its security awareness to encompass far more threats and challenges.

In the changing nature of international conflicts NATO has had to innovate how it addresses security issues. Military force is no longer enough to deal a blow to some threats which could arise in the cyberspace, information networks, critical infrastructure, economic pressure and advanced technologies. Strategic planning has therefore focused on cost-effectiveness and technology for Cyber Security, Artificial Intelligence, Space Capabilities, Intelligence sharing and Resilience. NATO has also improved coordination between member states of the alliance and established international partnerships with states and international organisations beyond the alliance.

The relative power shift in international politics is a second element that may affect NATO's strategic significance. Competition between the great powers has generated uncertainty in the international system. Meanwhile, however, Europe's conflicts, both within and surrounding the continent, have posed significant challenges with respect to territorial security, military awareness, leadership and defence in Europe. Coinciding with this, there have been important questions regarding security, defence, military awareness, deterrence and the future of European defence in and around Europe. All this has spurred NATO members to boost their defence readiness and to rethink their strategic agenda.

The evolving role of NATO also casts doubt on the unity of the alliance. It is important to note that the interests, security agendas, and strategies to international matters going from the member States are not the same. Collective decision-making occurs can be difficult because of different defence expenditures, strategic priorities, and threat perceptions. Achieving political coherence and accommodating fast evolving security environments, is thus a key challenge for NATO.

In this context, the present paper addresses the transformation of the international security environment and the development of NATO's role in the world. It examines NATO's new adaptation to new threats, the influence of collective defence on the traditional NATO policy, and the effects of technology and geopolitical adjustments. The paper also underscores the key challenges that NATO is required to overcome in order to be relevant and effective in the current international security system.

Review of Literature:

The nature of conflict has altered markedly as revealed in the literature on NATO and today's security in the international arena. Security studies were initially concerned with conventional threats to security, territorial defence and relations between great powers involving military formations. The recent scholarship, however, is focused more on the concepts of hybrid warfare, cyber, legal, information and the evolving strategic environment. The studies chosen give a good basis for understanding how NATO and other security institutions are evolving to meet these developments.

Bachmann and Gunneriusson (2015) have explored the development of a new form of warfare termed 'hybrid warfare' that is a key threat to global peace and security. They assert that today's conflicts are "hybrid" and are being fought through "conventional" and "irregular" means, alongside cyber activity, political pressure, and more. What's significant about their study is that

it reveals that the lines and distinctions between war and peace today are not quite as obvious as they were in the past. This is a reality for NATO, that they will need to have a more comprehensive security planning if they do not solely depend on military preparedness. The study thus offers a solid base to the understanding why NATO's efforts have turned towards improving Resilience, Intelligence, Co-ordination, and Flexible Response.

Caliskan (2019) investigates hybrid warfare from the strategic theory point of view. The study challenges the view that hybrid warfare constitutes a “new” type of warfare and suggests that a better approach involves a multifaceted perspective. It maintains that hybrid warfare is not a war by definition and that a multifaceted perspective is needed when approaching the issue. Rather, it may be regarded as a mix of tools and techniques employed to accomplish strategies. When applied to the study of NATO's current security policies, this is an important way to elucidate and appreciate the military and non-military aspects of power. There is also a need to work the political strategy associated with various types of hostile activities, and the study suggests that this needs to be done carefully.

Caliskan and Liégeois (2021) conduct an analysis of the notion of hybrid warfare with NATO officials during a series of interviews. This is due to the fact that their work bears special relevance to the current research work for the implications of the concept for NATO's strategic thinking. The authors note that the term "hybrid warfare" is generally used in a way that may also be conceptually challenging. Because of this, when virtually every type of political or economic, cyber or military pressure is now being referred to as hybrid warfare, it can be hard to know what type of pressure is a threat. Future research is thus focussed on strategic definitions and responses to security issues and challenges, promoting differentiation rather than uniform processing of challenges.

Galeotti (2016) speaks about the "evolving nature of modern warfare" and the greater prevalence of "sleek means" of war. The study revealed that in modern wars there may be a blend of military force, politics, criminal networks, pressure through information and other systematized ways. These can be activities that are not the typical characteristics of a war but can have significant security implications. For NATO this is important as a warning that threats are hard to detect, detect early, and react to. NATO, then, must enhance its capacity to be able to track various types of activities and react in the appropriate manner to new threats.

Hadji Janev (2022) concentrates on the legal aspects of hybrid warfare in cyberspace. The study points out many of the challenges in the application of international law for cyber operations, especially when the perpetrator of the attack, the size of the cyber operation, and the consequences of the attack is hard to define. The study is significant for providing insights into legal issues confronting NATO in the online context. It suggests that cybersecurity cannot be considered only as a technical or military issue. State action to cyber/hybrid operations is also subject to questions of responsibility, sovereignty, proportionality and international law.

One of the important early writings on hybrid warfare is by Hoffman (2009). Both conventional armies, guerrilla warfare methods, terrorism, and other means can be used in the same battle against an adversary in the modern era, he says. His writing calls into question the divide between regular or conventional warfare and unconventional warfare. The importance of this research is

that it sought to raise awareness about possible scenarios in a future conflict including the simultaneous existence of diverse military and political operations. The NATO way of looking at it is to develop flexible defence structures in order to be able to deal with the variety of existing threats, not just those which are conventional.

Libiseller (2023) argues from a critical position with regard to the term "hybrid warfare." The author challenges the concept on the grounds that it has at times become an "academic and policy fashion" used too freely. This criticism is useful, as it prompts analysts and policymakers to study the true nature of a conflict, instead of lapping it with the term "hybrid warfare". Strategic clarity is essential for making the best choice of reaction, so the argument is more relevant to NATO than to an accidental entrant to the war. The study thus contributes a much-needed dimension to the literature as it examines the validity of the current terminology and its boundaries.

Mälksoo (2018) presents an analysis on the EU and NATO's reaction to hybrid warfare in the framework of ontological security. The study also argues that, when a combination of these factors is at play as in the case of hybrid threats, responses are more than territory and capability defence: it is also about identity, community stability and institutional trust. This view presents a wider interpretation of security than that of the physical defense. It can be especially helpful for examining NATO resilience and public perception-building initiatives through information and political means, as well as its democratic institutions.

Munoz Mosquera, Bachmann, and Munoz Bravo (2019) examine the nexus of hybrid warfare and the legal field. Their research shows that hybrid activities raise challenging legal issues as they can contain a blend of state and non-state actors, military and non-military targets, and activities in between clearly defined categories of conflict. The discussion in the authors, relevant to NATO, will have consequences on this as uncertainty will affect the way an alliance defines an attack and on what response to give. In so doing, they underscore the need to foster and deepen the knowledge of international law in the framework of today's security planning.

In their work, Reveron and Savage (2023) have explored internet governance and the function of international institutions in the cyber era. Their work illustrates the connection between international security and the management of digital network and technologies that is now relatively close. The internet is no longer only a communication medium, it is a key factor in political, economic and strategic competition. This view is important to NATO as cooperation between and among governments, technology providers and international organisations, as well as with other stakeholders, is essential for cyber security. The study reinforces the idea that the current security cannot be maintained by the military alone.

The Tallinn Manual on the International Law Applicable to Cyber Warfare, crafted by Schmitt (2013) offers a valuable legal context for analyzing the operations of cyber within the international arena. It explores the potential application of broader international law concepts to cyber operations, such as with regards to sovereignty, acts of force, armed attack and responsibility. The manual is not a NATO policy document; its relevance to NATO's cyber defence concerns is very high, however. It sets a framework for talking about legal limits of state behavior in the Internet and potential reactions to serious cyber incidents.

In the context of NATO's cyber defence policy, Shalamanov and Bankov (2022) explore the concept of cyber/ hybrid threats and the dynamics of the link between threats and resilience. Their efforts stress the need to increase cyber capabilities and nations' cooperation within NATO. The study also demonstrates that cyber security ought to be part of the broader defence planning, not a technical problem. Where attacks can be directed at government systems, military networks, communication networks, as well as other critical systems and services, their focus on resilience is particularly important in today's context of security.

Analysis of the Study:

1. NATO

NATO has grown from a military alliance of the Cold War era into a more comprehensive security organisation that addresses a variety of modern security issues. As with other missile blockades, its primary mission is collective defence, although the character of the threats which its members are under has changed significantly. Today, NATO has to manage conventional threats in addition to potential terrorism, cyber threats, disinformation, political instability, technological competition and hybrid conflicts. The cooperation in the domains of Intelligence, Cyber security, Resilience and New technologies has been developed as well as the militarized preparedness has been strengthened by the alliance.

Another important factor to consider in the ongoing importance of NATO is that it provides political and military coordination of its members. Member states can act more effectively in dealing with security crises through collective planning, joint exercises, sharing of intelligence and interoperability. So, NATO's strategic value is not just defined by its military might. It also has a political consultative mechanism and institutional structure that offer a forum for the member states to engage in effective coordination of reactions to international security problems.

But it also poses some difficulties for NATO, due to the evolving nature of its functions. The alliance has to be united, despite differences regarding the interests and security perceptions of the various countries. Collective action can be initiated and implemented at different rates and degrees because of the extent of differences across countries in defence spending, threat perceptions and foreign policy approaches. Hence, aside from military power, the success of NATO will rely on political coherence and the readiness of NATO member states to collaborate.

2. International Security

Security has now expanded from the classical concept of national defense to international level and international security has become more complex. In the Cold War Era, security meant mostly the threat of military confrontation between the major powers. Security encompasses security from the Military, security from the Political, security from the Economic, security from the Technological, security from the Environmental and security from the Societal aspects today. No country suffered a typical military invasion, but experienced serious security issues. Compromises to government networks, attacks on critical infrastructure, information manipulation, acts of “terrorism” and disruptions in communication networks can be serious cyber security concerns.

This broader understanding has influenced NATO's strategic thinking. The alliance is now more aware of the need for defence and resilience to withstand new threats to security. In addition to

having to defend against armed threats, governments and societies need to be able to provide and sustain their required services during crisis situations. Energy supplies, telecommunications, road and rail transport, healthcare and digital networks have therefore come to play an important role in the broader security landscape.

There is also a need for enhanced government cooperation, between government and international organisations, private companies and civil society, due to the evolving character of international security. Many critical infrastructures are private ones and technological developments are often led by private companies. This means that, to ensure effective security policies, cooperation is needed between people from different institutional backgrounds.

3. Collective Defence

One of the key principles on which NATO is based is collective defence. The position was that it should not be a big issue to member states if there is a big security threat. Rather, an attack on one member is a common security concern for the alliance. This principle enhances deterrence because potential aggressors are left with no choice but to take into account political, economic and military alliance of the members of NATO.

Collective defence has now come to have a broader meaning in the modern context. No longer just for reacting to traditional military attacks. NATO needs to take account of other illicit actions, such as cyberattacks, hybrid operations, attacks on critical infrastructure, and more. This has rendered the doctrine of collective defence more flexible and intricate.

Collective defence requires a number of conditions. Member States must ensure they have adequate defence capabilities and preparedness. First, military forces need to be interoperable and develop a common standard with which to function together. Thirdly, intelligence and information need to be effectively shared. Lastly, political solidarity is crucial as collective defence is only possible if its members are willing to cooperate.

There is also a revival of the traditional geopolitical rivalry, evidenced by the formulation of collective defence as a strengthened platform. Another aspect of it is the return of geopolitical rivalry on the heightened platform of collective defence. NATO has moved towards more interest in deterrence, readiness, military mobility, exercises, and Defence Planning. Meanwhile, balancing deterrence and the limitation of escalation is a challenge for the alliance. Collective defence is therefore not only a military doctrine, but also a political posture which is designed to ensure security and avert war.

4. Geopolitics

The course of current NATO strategic efforts has been greatly shaped by geopolitical situations. The international system is facing more rivalry amongst key actors, shifting regional dynamics, and a renewed debate over how power will be distributed in the world. Given these developments, geographic considerations and positioning are once again becoming important factors.

NATO has placed an unprecedented importance on the security environment in Europe. The Eastern European developments have proved that territorial security and conventional military force play a still important role in a technologically advanced world. To this end, NATO has

increasingly focused on deterrence, forward defence, military readiness, and enhanced security of the NATO member countries.

Europe is not alone in experiencing geopolitical competition. International security implications have greater implications for developments in the Indo Pacific region, maritime security, technological competition and the increasing significance coupled to global supply chains. NATO has thus enlarged its partnerships and dialogue with nations outside of its "geographical area.

As NATO delves more deeply into wider geopolitical issues, however, its role becomes the subject of scrutiny. The alliance needs to establish exactly how much latitude it has for strategic duties and just what level of reaction it will supply in the course of security growth in nations outside the North Atlantic relationship. The alliance has to decide just how far it ought to venture in terms of its commitments and how much it wants to respond to security developments overseas, outside the North Atlantic relationship. The right balance is required to keep NATO's purpose at collective security while adjusting to global shift.

5. Cybersecurity

Cybersecurity is now an integral element of the international security landscape in today's world. Digital systems are crucial for nowadays societies, for communication, banking, transportation, energy, healthcare, defence and governorship purposes. A successful cyberattack can thus have many significant economic and social effects without resorting to traditional means of force.

Cybersecurity has become a strategic priority as well as a priority of defense for NATO. The alliance has enhanced cooperation between the member states with respect to cyber defense, information sharing, training and protection of military networks. The capabilities dimension of cyber is now prevalent in broader defence planning as well, and is increasingly used across multiple verticals.

The difficulty with cybersecurity is discerning who is attacking and what they're going to do. Cyber operations may be masked, or done via a complicated network, making attribution challenging. Furthermore, the attacks can come from state actors, non-state actors, criminal organisations or others. This makes it difficult to decide on a suitable solution.

Cybersecurity also highlights an important point about international security: The distinction between martial and civil security posts is no longer valid. National defence responses may impact civilian people, as a result of a cyber-attack that affects electricity networks, transportation, financial institutions or communication systems. NATO will, therefore, continue to require states, tech companies and other international partners to work together.

6. Hybrid Warfare

Hybrid warfare is one of the most perplexing threats of the present-day security institutions. Uses various forms of pressure such as a military force, cyber operation, disinformation, political influence, economic pressure, intelligence activity, irregular groups. The intent is usually to generate "some disintegration but not a full-blown war."

Hybrids are troublesome for NATO because war doesn't look like what they are used to. Conventional military activities can often be more readily recognised, while hybrid activities can be executed over time and via multiple pathways. For example, disinformation campaigns can be

designed to undermine population confidence, divide society politically and disrupt democratic processes, rather than directly target military forces.

Therefore, NATO's answer in the face of hybrid warfare needs to be a whole of society approach. In order to build up military readiness, it is essential to have robust democratic institutions, citizens resilient to crises, a secure cyber-world, strategic communication, intelligence collaboration, and critical infrastructure protections. One aspect of defense which is vital for the ability of societies to recognize and resist manipulation.

Rapt speed of decision-making is also a key element to hybrid warfare. A rather modest offensive can have huge political or social consequences if an alliance is a little slow. In this context, NATO must be able to have reliable early warning capabilities, fast and effective intelligence exchange, and a coherent strategic communication.

7. Strategic Response

Strategic thinking and adaptation on how to deal with today's security concerns can be seen in NATO. In NATO, strategic thinking and adaptation can be considered as an adaptation process for dealing with today's security challenges. The alliance is not only adopting an approach that is based on the scope of military force, but also strengthening the concept of deterrence, defence, resilience, technology, partnerships, and crisis management.

Modernisation of military forces is an important aspect. To evolve with changes in warfare, armed forces need to upgrade with advanced technologies, enhance intelligence and surveillance system and boost communications. New technologies like artificial intelligence systems, autonomous systems, advanced computing technologies, and space capabilities have become more applicable in Defence planning.

The second is resilience. NATO acknowledges that societies need to be resilient to disruption and quickly recover from crises. What this means is that critical infrastructure protection, digital system resilience, continuity of government and emergency preparedness are among the critical components of security that are growing in importance.

Another element is that of international partnership. Most of the current threats are transnational and collaboration is a key ingredient. Building relationships between the countries and between NATO and other international organisations can enhance the sharing and exchange of intelligence, crisis management, military coordination and stability in the region.

A 4th factor to consider is strategic communication. With information now easily disseminated via digital channels, NATO needs to communicate effectively with governments and towards the public. Combating fake news and keeping the public in good faith is a growing element of strategic security.

Final Conclusion:

Despite its many changes, NATO remains very relevant in today's international security landscape, but it has had to adapt to the new realities of a 21st century security environment. The research clearly demonstrates that the conventional concept of defence might no longer suffice for NATO in terms of its traditional military might. The security threats of the present-day include threats to critical infrastructure, technological disruptions, threats from geopolitical competition,

cyberattacks, threats from hybrid warfare, threats from terrorism, disinformation, and threats from critical infrastructure. As a result, NATO has shifted to a more expansive and adaptable response to the issue of collective security.

NATO's defensive core of collective defence has continued as it is, however its scope of meaning has grown and gone beyond the military protection. Nowadays, the very essence of defence is cybersecurity, sharing intelligence, technological innovations, strategic communication, association of the civil society and swift response to crisis. This is especially apparent with today's increasing threat of hybrid and cyber security attacks that may impact security without resorting to military force.

NATO is playing an ever-greater role in guaranteeing strategic readiness in a changing geopolitical environment. However, it is vital to ensure unity between member states at the same time. It can be difficult to see eye-to-eye on subject of defence decisions due to varying national priorities, defence interests and understanding of security threats. Real strength of NATO, however, is derived not just from its military forces, but from its political cooperation and mutual commitment among member nations.

To sum up, NATO is slowly transforming itself into a more composite, and flexible, security body. Its success will rely upon the capacity to seal away defence and technological improvement, cyber security, resilience, diplomacy and international cooperation. The ongoing engagement of NATO in a dynamic international system could only be sustained if it is able to adapt to new threats, to work collectively and keep the balance between stability and no escalation. The ability to change with unity will continue to be at the heart of the alliance's role in international security and will be crucial to the alliance's ability to adapt.

References:

1. Bachmann, S. D., & Gunneriusson, H. (2015). Hybrid wars: The 21st-century's new threats to global peace and security. *Scientia Militaria: South African Journal of Military Studies*, 43(1), 77–98.
2. Caliskan, M. (2019). Hybrid warfare through the lens of strategic theory. *Defense & Security Analysis*, 35(1), 40–58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14751798.2019.1565364>
3. Caliskan, M., & Liégeois, M. (2021). The concept of 'hybrid warfare' undermines NATO's strategic thinking: Insights from interviews with NATO officials. *Small Wars & Insurgencies*, 32(2), 295–319. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09592318.2020.1860374>
4. Galeotti, M. (2016). Hybrid, ambiguous, and everywhere: A broadening of the understanding of contemporary conflict. *European Security*, 25(2), 1–15.
5. Hadji Janev, M. (2022). Legal perspectives of hybrid warfare activities in cyberspace. *NATO Science for Peace and Security Series – D: Information and Communication Security*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/NICSP220019>
6. Hoffman, F. G. (2009). Hybrid warfare and challenges. *Joint Force Quarterly*, 52, 34–39.
7. Libiseller, C. (2023). 'Hybrid warfare' as an academic fashion. *International Affairs*, 99(2), 858–880. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iia023>

8. Mälksoo, M. (2018). Countering hybrid warfare as ontological security management: The emerging practices of the EU and NATO. *European Security*, 27(3), 374–392. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09662839.2018.1497984>
9. Munoz Mosquera, A. B., Bachmann, S. D., & Munoz Bravo, J. A. (2019). Hybrid warfare and the legal domain. *Terrorism and Political Violence*, 31(1), 98–104. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09546553.2018.1555975>
10. Reveron, D. S., & Savage, J. E. (2023). Internet governance and international institutions. In D. S. Reveron & J. E. Savage, *Security in the cyber age: An introduction to policy and technology* (pp. 200–225). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009308564.009>
11. Schmitt, M. N. (Ed.). (2013). *Tallinn manual on the international law applicable to cyber warfare*. Cambridge University Press.
12. Shalamanov, V., & Bankov, B. (2022). NATO cyber defence policy and hybrid threats: The way to enhance our resilience. *NATO Science for Peace and Security Series – D: Information and Communication Security*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/NICSP220014>



EARN YOUR MBA

WWW.IIMPS.IN



Accreditation & Ranking



UGC / NCTE Approved.

INFO@IIMPS.IN

☎ 011-41005174

RESEARCH
GATEWAY

STOP PLAGIARISM



Arogyam Ayurveda
Holistic Healing through herbs



AROGYAM
ONLINE

PARIVARTAN PSYCHOLOGY CENTER

परिवर्तन

COLOR PSYCHOLOGY : HOW COLOR AFFECT YOUR CHILD



BLUE

Calms your Child's
Mind & Body

YELLOW

Promotes Concentration,
Stimulates the Memory

PINK

Evokes Empathy,
makes your Child Calm

RED

Excites and energizes
your Child's body

GREEN

Improves Reading speed
and Comprehension

www.parivartan4u.com



परिवर्तन



Confuse about your children's future?

भारतीय भाषा, शिक्षा, साहित्य एवं शोध

ISSN 2321 – 9726

WWW.BHARTIYASHODH.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
MANAGEMENT SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY**

ISSN – 2250 – 1959 (O) 2348 – 9367 (P)

WWW.IRJMSST.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
COMMERCE, ARTS AND SCIENCE**

ISSN 2319 – 9202

WWW.CASIRJ.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
MANAGEMENT SOCIOLOGY & HUMANITIES**

ISSN 2277 – 9809 (O) 2348 - 9359 (P)

WWW.IRJMSH.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF SCIENCE
ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**

ISSN 2454-3195 (online)

WWW.RJSET.COM



**INTEGRATED RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
MANAGEMENT, SCIENCE AND INNOVATION**

ISSN 2582-5445

WWW.IRJMSI.COM



**JOURNAL OF LEGAL STUDIES, POLITICS
AND ECONOMICS RESEARCH**

WWW.JLPER.COM

JLPE