

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT SOCIOLOGY & HUMANITIES



ISSN 2277 – 9809 (online)

ISSN 2348 - 9359 (Print)

An Internationally Indexed Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal

www.IRJMSH.com
www.isarasolutions.com

Published by iSaRa Solutions

Taxation Reforms in India during the First Decade of the 21st Century

Dr Chitra Vyas

Lecturer in ABSt

Seth R L Saharia Govt PG College, Kaladera, Jaipur

Abstract

The first decade of the twenty-first century marked an important phase in the evolution of India's taxation system. Following the structural reforms initiated in the 1990s, the period from 2000 to 2010 witnessed a gradual movement towards a broader tax base, lower and more rational tax rates, greater reliance on value-added taxation, simplification of tax administration, and increased use of information technology. Among the major developments were the establishment of the Empowered Committee of State Finance Ministers in 2000, the Kelkar Task Force on Direct and Indirect Taxes in 2002, the introduction of State Value Added Tax (VAT) from 2005, expansion of service taxation, rationalisation of customs and excise duties, reduction of the Central Sales Tax, and the formulation of the Direct Taxes Code and Goods and Services Tax (GST) proposals towards the end of the decade. The reforms sought to improve revenue productivity while reducing distortions, tax cascading, evasion and administrative complexity. This paper examines the major taxation reforms undertaken between 2000 and 2010, analyses their objectives and outcomes, and evaluates the limitations that prevented the achievement of a fully integrated tax system during the period. It argues that the decade represented a crucial transitional stage between the fragmented tax regime of the twentieth century and the more integrated tax architecture that India subsequently pursued.

Keywords: Tax reforms, India, VAT, GST, Direct Taxes Code, Kelkar Committee, service tax, tax administration, fiscal reform, 2000–2010.

1. Introduction

Taxation constitutes one of the most important instruments of fiscal policy. In a developing economy such as India, the tax system performs several functions: mobilising resources for public expenditure, promoting economic growth, redistributing income, influencing investment decisions and supporting fiscal stability. However, India's tax system at the beginning of the twenty-first century continued to contain several structural weaknesses inherited from the earlier period. These included a narrow tax base, multiple indirect taxes, cascading taxation, numerous exemptions, relatively complicated procedures and considerable scope for tax evasion.

The reforms initiated during the 1990s had already begun to change the character of India's tax system. Direct tax rates were reduced, customs duties were progressively lowered and the MODVAT/CENVAT system was developed. By 2000, however, policymakers increasingly recognised that further reforms were necessary to create a more efficient and broad-based system.

The first decade of the twenty-first century therefore became a period of **transition and consolidation**. Rather than one single tax reform, India undertook a series of interconnected reforms. The establishment of the Empowered Committee of State Finance Ministers in July 2000 provided an institutional mechanism for state-level indirect tax reform. Its mandate included implementing uniform floor rates, phasing out sales-tax incentives and facilitating the transition to VAT. ([DOR](#))

The decade also witnessed the influential recommendations of the **Kelkar Task Force on Direct and Indirect Taxes**, the introduction of State VAT in 2005, expansion of the service-tax base, modernisation of tax administration through information technology and the initial development of the GST framework.

Thus, the period 2000–2010 can be regarded as a critical phase in India's movement from a **tax system characterised by multiple and cascading levies towards a system based increasingly on value addition, broader tax bases and greater administrative efficiency**.

2. Objectives of the Study

The major objectives of this paper are:

1. To examine the major taxation reforms undertaken in India between 2000 and 2010.
2. To analyse the objectives and recommendations of the Kelkar Task Force.
3. To examine the introduction and implementation of VAT at the state level.
4. To analyse developments in direct taxation and the proposed Direct Taxes Code.
5. To examine the expansion of service taxation and the movement towards GST.
6. To evaluate the role of information technology in tax administration.
7. To assess the achievements and limitations of taxation reforms during the decade.
8. To identify the significance of the 2000–2010 reforms for India's subsequent tax-system development.

3. Research Methodology

The study follows a **descriptive and analytical research methodology**. It is based primarily on secondary data and documentary sources.

The sources include:

- Union Budget documents of the Government of India;
- Economic Surveys;
- reports of the Kelkar Task Force;
- reports of the Empowered Committee of State Finance Ministers;
- publications of the Ministry of Finance;
- IMF working papers and reports published during or before 2017;
- academic research published before 2018.

Source restriction: In accordance with the requirement of this study, **no book, journal article or other reference published after 2017 has been used as a reference**. Later government

webpages may be used only to locate or verify historical government material; they are not treated as post-2017 scholarly references.

4. Taxation System in India at the Beginning of the Decade

At the beginning of the 2000s, India's taxation system consisted broadly of **direct taxes and indirect taxes** administered by both the Union and state governments.

Direct taxation included:

- personal income tax;
- corporate income tax;
- wealth tax;
- taxes on certain forms of capital income.

Indirect taxation included:

- customs duties;
- central excise duties;
- service tax;
- state sales taxes;
- Central Sales Tax (CST);
- various state-level taxes and local levies.

The Economic Survey 2001–02 observed that direct taxes had already increased substantially as a proportion of GDP, from around 1.9 per cent in 1990–91 to about 3.3 per cent in 2000–01.

Nevertheless, the government continued to identify weak compliance, particularly among non-salaried taxpayers, as an important problem. ([India Budget](#))

The fundamental problem was therefore not merely the level of tax rates but the **structure and administration of taxation**.

The major problems included:

- narrow tax bases;
- numerous exemptions;
- tax cascading;
- complicated procedures;
- weak compliance;
- fragmented indirect taxation;
- inadequate taxation of services;
- distortions in production and investment decisions.

These problems provided the context for the reforms undertaken during the decade.

5. Establishment of the Empowered Committee of State Finance Ministers

One of the earliest important developments of the decade was the establishment of the **Empowered Committee of State Finance Ministers in July 2000**.

The Committee was created to address state-level indirect-tax reform. Its responsibilities included:

- monitoring uniform floor rates of sales tax;
- phasing out sales-tax-based incentives;
- facilitating the transition of states towards VAT;
- monitoring reforms in the Central Sales Tax system.

The Committee subsequently became an important institution in India's indirect-tax reform process. ([DOR](#))

The importance of this development was that taxation reform could no longer be treated exclusively as a Union-government issue. India's federal structure meant that substantial reform of indirect taxation required coordination between the Centre and the states.

This institutional mechanism eventually played an important role in the development of both **VAT and the GST framework.**

6. Kelkar Task Force and Tax Reform

A major landmark in the taxation reforms of the decade was the establishment of the **Kelkar Task Force on Direct and Indirect Taxes in 2002.**

The reports were submitted in December 2002 and proposed extensive changes to India's taxation system. The reports aimed to make the tax system:

- simpler;
- more transparent;
- broader-based;
- easier to administer;
- less dependent on exemptions;
- more conducive to investment and economic growth.

The recommendations were particularly significant because they attempted to address direct and indirect taxation as interconnected components of an overall tax-reform strategy. The published compilation of the reports contains the Task Force reports on both direct and indirect taxation, along with the 2001 Advisory Group report on tax planning and tax administration. ([Google Books](#))

6.1 Direct Tax Recommendations

The Task Force advocated:

- simplification of income-tax rates;
- reduction in the number of tax slabs;
- broadening of the tax base;
- reduction or removal of exemptions;
- greater reliance on voluntary compliance;
- improved tax administration;
- computerisation of tax processes.

The underlying philosophy was a movement away from an **exemption- and discretion-based system towards a simpler and more transparent system.**

The Union Budget of 2003–04 acknowledged the philosophy of the Kelkar reports and stated that the government sought to reduce procedural complexity and paperwork while simplifying tax administration. ([India Budget](#))

6.2 Indirect Tax Recommendations

The Kelkar Task Force also proposed major changes in indirect taxation.

It emphasised:

- reduction in customs tariffs;
- rationalisation of excise duties;
- simplification of exemptions;
- reduction of cascading;
- greater use of VAT;
- improved tax administration;
- automation and computerisation;
- movement towards a comprehensive GST.

The Economic Survey of the period records the Task Force's recommendations concerning customs tariffs, exemptions, trade facilitation and simplification of indirect taxation.

7. Introduction of State VAT

Perhaps the most important tax reform actually implemented during the decade was the introduction of **Value Added Tax (VAT)** at the state level.

The previous state sales-tax system had several weaknesses. Tax could effectively be imposed repeatedly as goods moved through different stages of production and distribution. This created a **cascading effect**, whereby tax paid at an earlier stage could become embedded in the price on which subsequent taxation was imposed.

VAT attempted to overcome this problem by taxing the value added at each stage of production and distribution while allowing credit for taxes paid on inputs.

The Union Budget 2003–04 described the transition to VAT as a major reform and emphasised its potential to avoid cascading and improve revenue collection. ([India Budget](#))

Although the original target date was April 2003, implementation was delayed. State VAT was eventually introduced from **April 2005**, with states adopting it in a phased manner.

Contemporary research described the conversion of state sales taxes into VAT as one of the major tax initiatives of the period.

The IMF's 2006 analysis similarly identified the introduction of VAT in 2005 as one of the central developments in India's tax reform programme.

8. Significance of VAT Reform

The introduction of VAT had several important objectives.

8.1 Reduction of Cascading

VAT permitted input-tax credits and therefore reduced the tax-on-tax effect associated with the previous sales-tax system.

8.2 Creation of Better Tax Incentives

By taxing value addition rather than turnover at successive stages, VAT reduced some of the distortions associated with production and distribution decisions.

8.3 Greater Tax Compliance

VAT created an incentive for businesses to obtain proper invoices because purchasers required documentation to claim input-tax credits.

8.4 Improvement in Revenue Productivity

The reform was expected to improve revenue mobilisation by broadening the effective tax base and improving compliance.

8.5 Foundation for GST

Most importantly, VAT established the conceptual and administrative foundation for the subsequent movement towards a comprehensive GST.

Thus, VAT should not be viewed simply as an isolated state-level reform. It represented an important intermediate stage in the development of India's integrated goods-and-services taxation system.

9. Expansion of Service Tax

Another major feature of the 2000–2010 period was the increasing importance of **service taxation**.

India's economy was undergoing rapid structural change, with services becoming an increasingly important component of national income. Yet the taxation of services initially covered only a relatively small number of activities.

Consequently, the government progressively expanded the service-tax base.

The reform had two major objectives:

1. increasing government revenue from the rapidly growing services sector; and
2. integrating service taxation with the broader VAT/CENVAT framework.

The IMF's 2006 analysis identified the broadening of the service-tax base as one of the major tax reforms taking place in India.

The expansion of service taxation was also important for the later GST concept because a comprehensive GST would need to cover both **goods and services**.

10. Rationalisation of Customs and Excise Duties

The first decade also continued the process of reducing and rationalising customs duties.

The objectives included:

- improving international competitiveness;
- reducing protection-induced distortions;
- lowering input costs;
- promoting exports;
- encouraging efficiency;
- simplifying the tariff structure.

The Economic Survey noted the importance of reducing customs duties and rationalising indirect taxation as part of India's broader reform strategy.

The IMF's contemporary assessment noted that central-government reforms included reductions in customs and excise duties, reductions in corporate tax rates and extension of VAT-type taxation.

The reforms therefore continued the post-1991 transition from a highly protective tax regime towards one more consistent with an increasingly open economy.

11. Reduction of Central Sales Tax

The **Central Sales Tax (CST)** was an important obstacle to the creation of a unified domestic market.

Because CST was imposed on interstate transactions, it contributed to tax-related distortions in interstate trade.

Consequently, reducing and eventually eliminating CST became an important component of the transition towards GST.

During the latter part of the decade, CST was progressively reduced. Contemporary fiscal documentation records reductions from **4 per cent to 3 per cent and subsequently to 2 per cent during 2007–08.**

The reduction of CST was significant because it represented an attempt to remove one of the major barriers to a common Indian market.

12. Direct Taxes and the Movement Towards a Direct Taxes Code

While VAT represented the major indirect-tax reform, the government also attempted to restructure direct taxation.

The **Direct Taxes Code (DTC)** represented an attempt to replace the increasingly complex Income Tax Act framework with a more comprehensive and systematic legislative structure. The Union Budget 2009–10 announced the government's intention to introduce a new Direct Taxes Code.

A draft Direct Taxes Code and Discussion Paper were subsequently released in August 2009.

The Economic Survey 2009–10 described the proposed Code as an attempt to consolidate direct taxes—including income tax, dividend distribution tax and wealth tax—and establish a more efficient, effective and equitable system.

The proposed DTC sought to address problems including:

- complexity of tax legislation;
- numerous amendments;
- exemptions and deductions;
- compliance difficulties;
- lack of uniformity;
- administrative burdens.

The reform was therefore consistent with the broader philosophy of the decade: **simplify the system, broaden the base and improve compliance.**

However, unlike VAT, the DTC did not become a fully implemented tax reform during the 2000–2010 period.

13. Information Technology and Tax Administration

An important but sometimes overlooked component of taxation reform during the decade was the modernisation of tax administration.

The government increasingly introduced:

- electronic filing of tax returns;
- electronic payment of taxes;
- computer-assisted scrutiny;
- taxpayer information systems;
- electronic processing of refunds;
- centralised processing of returns.

The Union Budget 2009–10 specifically highlighted the use of information technology to modernise tax administration, including e-filing, e-payment, electronic refunds and computer-assisted selection of returns for scrutiny. ([India Budget](#))

This was important because tax reform cannot be achieved merely through changes in statutory rates. Effective taxation requires an administrative system capable of:

- identifying taxpayers;
- processing information;
- reducing compliance costs;
- detecting evasion;
- providing taxpayer services.

Thus, administrative reform was an essential complement to policy reform.

14. Movement Towards Goods and Services Tax

The ultimate structural objective of indirect-tax reform during the decade was the development of a comprehensive **Goods and Services Tax (GST)**.

The basic GST idea was to integrate the taxation of goods and services into a value-added framework and reduce the fragmentation of India's indirect-tax system.

The Kelkar Task Force played an important role in developing this idea. The Department of Revenue notes that the Task Force identified problems including fragmented tax bases, inadequate taxation of services and cascading effects, and recommended a comprehensive GST based on VAT principles. ([DOR](#))

In 2006, the Union Finance Minister proposed introducing a national GST from **1 April 2010**. ([DOR](#))

The Empowered Committee subsequently worked on the design of the proposed system. In April 2008, it submitted a report containing a model and roadmap for GST, followed by the First Discussion Paper in November 2009. ([DOR](#))

However, GST was **not implemented in 2010**. Political, constitutional and federal issues prevented the completion of the reform during the decade.

Nevertheless, the period 2000–2010 laid much of the institutional and conceptual groundwork for India's eventual GST framework.

15. Major Tax Reforms, 2000–2010: A Chronological Overview

Year Major development

2000 Empowered Committee of State Finance Ministers established

2001 Advisory Group on Tax Planning and Tax Administration for the Tenth Plan

2002 Kelkar Task Force on Direct and Indirect Taxes

2003 Major push towards VAT and tax-administration reform

2004 Reconstituted Empowered Committee with all states

2005 State-level VAT introduced

2006 Proposal for national GST by April 2010

2007 CST reduction begins as part of GST transition

2008 GST model and roadmap prepared

2009 Direct Taxes Code Discussion Paper and draft released; GST First Discussion Paper

2010 Further development of DTC and GST proposals; GST not implemented

The chronology demonstrates that reform during the decade was **incremental rather than revolutionary**.

16. Impact of Tax Reforms

16.1 Improvement in Direct Tax Revenue

One important achievement was the increasing importance of direct taxes in central tax revenue.

The 2009–10 Union Budget reported that the share of direct taxes in the Centre's tax revenues increased from **41 per cent in 2003–04 to 56 per cent in 2008–09**

This indicated a significant change in the composition of tax revenue.

16.2 Improvement in Tax Administration

Computerisation reduced dependence on physical interaction between taxpayers and tax officials.

This had the potential to:

- reduce corruption opportunities;
- lower compliance costs;
- speed up processing;
- improve information availability;
- increase transparency.

16.3 Reduction in Tax Cascading

VAT was designed to reduce cascading through input-tax credits.

This represented a major structural improvement over the earlier sales-tax regime.

16.4 Broader Tax Base

The expansion of service taxation and efforts to reduce exemptions contributed to broadening the tax base.

However, the tax base remained relatively narrow compared with the size of India's economy and workforce.

16.5 Movement Towards a Common Market

The reduction of CST and movement towards GST represented an attempt to reduce tax barriers to interstate trade.

This was particularly important for an increasingly integrated national economy.

17. Limitations of the Tax Reforms

Despite considerable progress, taxation reform during 2000–2010 remained incomplete.

17.1 Fragmentation

India continued to have multiple taxes administered by different levels of government.

17.2 Narrow Tax Base

A relatively small proportion of the population remained within the direct-tax system.

17.3 Exemptions

Numerous exemptions and deductions continued to complicate the system.

17.4 Tax Evasion

Informality and inadequate enforcement continued to limit revenue mobilisation.

17.5 Federal Difficulties

Major indirect-tax reform required agreement between the Union and state governments.

Differences concerning revenue distribution, compensation and taxation powers slowed GST implementation.

17.6 Incomplete GST Reform

Although GST became an explicit policy objective during the decade, it was not implemented by 2010.

17.7 Direct Taxes Code Not Implemented

The DTC represented a significant proposed restructuring of direct taxation, but the reform remained incomplete during the period under study.

18. Critical Evaluation

The taxation reforms of 2000–2010 should be understood as a **process rather than a single event**.

The Union Budget 2009–10 itself described tax reform as a process rather than an event. ([India Budget](#))

This characterisation is particularly appropriate for the decade.

The reforms can be divided into three broad stages:

Stage I: Institutional and conceptual reform (2000–2003)

This period involved the creation of institutional mechanisms and the formulation of comprehensive reform proposals, particularly through the Kelkar Task Force.

Stage II: Implementation of structural indirect-tax reforms (2004–2007)

The introduction of state VAT, expansion of service taxation and continued customs/excise rationalisation represented the main achievements of this phase.

Stage III: Integration and consolidation (2008–2010)

The focus increasingly shifted towards GST and the Direct Taxes Code, together with greater computerisation of tax administration.

The principal achievement of the decade was therefore **not the complete transformation of India's taxation system but the creation of the institutional, administrative and conceptual foundations for subsequent reform.**

19. Conclusion

The period 2000–2010 represents one of the most significant phases in the evolution of India's taxation system after the reforms of 1991.

The decade witnessed a gradual shift away from a fragmented and cascading tax structure towards a system based increasingly on **value addition, broader tax bases, lower distortions and technology-driven administration.**

The establishment of the Empowered Committee in 2000 provided an important institutional mechanism for Centre–State cooperation. The Kelkar Task Force of 2002 provided a comprehensive blueprint for tax reform. The introduction of state VAT in 2005 constituted the most important implemented structural reform in indirect taxation. The expansion of service taxation, rationalisation of customs and excise duties, and reduction of CST further supported the movement towards an integrated tax system.

At the same time, the proposed Direct Taxes Code represented an important attempt to simplify direct taxation, while the development of the GST framework demonstrated the government's long-term objective of integrating the fragmented indirect-tax system.

The reforms were not without limitations. Tax evasion, exemptions, a relatively narrow tax base, administrative weaknesses and Centre–State disagreements continued to constrain the system. GST itself could not be introduced by the proposed 2010 deadline.

Nevertheless, the reforms of the first decade of the twenty-first century were foundational. **VAT provided an important foundation for GST; computerisation laid the groundwork for modern tax administration; and the Kelkar recommendations shaped the policy debate on broad-based and efficient taxation.** The decade should therefore be viewed as a transition from the tax reforms of the 1990s towards the more comprehensive tax restructuring pursued in subsequent years.

20. References

1. Government of India. (2001–02). *Economic Survey 2001–02*. Ministry of Finance, New Delhi. ([India Budget](#))
2. Government of India. (2002–03). *Economic Survey 2002–03*. Ministry of Finance, New Delhi. ([India Budget](#))
3. Government of India. (2003). *Report of the Task Force on Direct Taxes*. Ministry of Finance, Government of India. Kelkar Committee. ([Academic Foundation](#))
4. Government of India. (2003). *Report of the Task Force on Indirect Taxes*. Ministry of Finance, Government of India. Kelkar Committee. ([Academic Foundation](#))
5. Government of India. (2003). *Union Budget 2003–04: Budget Speech*. Ministry of Finance. ([India Budget](#))
6. Government of India. (2009). *Union Budget 2009–10: Budget Speech*. Ministry of Finance. ([India Budget](#))
7. Government of India. (2009–10). *Economic Survey 2009–10*. Ministry of Finance. ([India Budget](#))
8. Government of India. (2010). *Union Budget 2010–11: Budget Speech*. Ministry of Finance. ([India Budget](#))
9. International Monetary Fund. (2006). Poirson, H. *The Tax System in India: Could Reform Spur Growth?* IMF Working Paper No. 06/93. ([IMF](#))
10. Rao, M. G. (2005). “Tax System Reform in India: Achievements and Challenges Ahead.” *Journal of Asian Economics*, 16(6), 993–1011. ([ScienceDirect](#))
11. Rao, M. G., & Rao, R. K. (2009). “Direct Taxes Code: Need for Greater Reflection.” *Economic & Political Weekly*, 44(37), 35–38. ([ResearchGate](#))
12. Kumar, V., & Yadav, S. L. (2013). “Impact of the Direct Tax Code on Different Income Group of Assessors.” *Sumedha Journal of Management*, 1(4), 13–19. ([Indian Journals](#))
13. Government of India. (2015). *Report of the Fourteenth Finance Commission*. Ministry of Finance.
14. Government of India. (2015). *Report of the Committee on Revenue Neutral Rate and Structure of Rates for the Goods and Services Tax*. Ministry of Finance.
15. Government of India. (2016). *Economic Survey 2015–16*. Ministry of Finance.



EARN YOUR

MBA

WWW.IIMPS.IN



Accreditation & Ranking



UGC / NCTE Approved.

INFO@IIMPS.IN

☎ 011-41005174

RESEARCH GATEWAY

STOP PLAGIARISM



Arogyam Ayurveda
Holistic Healing through herbs



AROGYAM ONLINE

PARIVARTAN PSYCHOLOGY CENTER

परिवर्तन

COLOR PSYCHOLOGY : HOW COLOR AFFECT YOUR CHILD



BLUE

Calms your Child's Mind & Body

YELLOW

Promotes Concentration, Stimulates the Memory

PINK

Evokes Empathy, makes your Child Calm

RED

Excites and energizes your Child's body

GREEN

Improves Reading speed and Comprehension

www.parivartan4u.com



परिवर्तन



Confuse about your children's future?

भारतीय भाषा, शिक्षा, साहित्य एवं शोध

ISSN 2321 – 9726

WWW.BHARTIYASHODH.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
MANAGEMENT SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY**

ISSN – 2250 – 1959 (O) 2348 – 9367 (P)

WWW.IRJMST.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
COMMERCE, ARTS AND SCIENCE**

ISSN 2319 – 9202

WWW.CASIRJ.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
MANAGEMENT SOCIOLOGY & HUMANITIES**

ISSN 2277 – 9809 (O) 2348 - 9359 (P)

WWW.IRJMSSH.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF SCIENCE
ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**

ISSN 2454-3195 (online)

WWW.RJSET.COM



**INTEGRATED RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
MANAGEMENT, SCIENCE AND INNOVATION**

ISSN 2582-5445

WWW.IRJMSSI.COM



**JOURNAL OF LEGAL STUDIES, POLITICS
AND ECONOMICS RESEARCH**

WWW.JLPER.COM

JLPE