

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT SOCIOLOGY & HUMANITIES



ISSN 2277 – 9809 (online)

ISSN 2348 - 9359 (Print)

An Internationally Indexed Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal

www.IRJMSH.com
www.isarasolutions.com

Published by iSaRa Solutions

Green Bonds: Future and Prospects for Climate Sustainability

Md Mukarram Badar Khan

Research Scholar

Department of Political Science, Jamia Millia Islamia

Introduction

Somewhere between a conference hall in Paris and a stock exchange in London, an unusual financial instrument found its footing over the last decade and a half. Green bonds, once a curiosity issued by a handful of multilateral banks, have grown into one of the more talked-about tools in the climate finance conversation. The idea itself is not complicated: raise money from investors the way any bond does, but ring-fence the proceeds for projects that carry an environmental benefit, whether that is a solar park in Rajasthan, a metro line in Jakarta, or a flood defence system on the Dutch coast. What makes the story interesting is not the mechanics but the trajectory. From a token issuance by the European Investment Bank in 2007 to a market that now runs into the trillions of dollars cumulatively, green bonds have moved from the margins of sustainable finance to somewhere near its centre (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2023).

This article tries to do three things. First, it traces briefly how the green bond market arrived at its present shape. Second, and this is really the heart of the piece, it looks at where the market seems to be heading and what structural, regulatory and behavioural forces will decide whether that trajectory holds. Third, it is honest about the friction points: greenwashing anxieties, uneven access for developing economies, and the plain fact that a green label on a bond does not by itself reduce a tonne of carbon. The intention is not to write a promotional brochure for green finance, nor to dismiss it as a fad; it is to take the instrument seriously enough to ask hard questions about its future.

A Brief Look Backward Before Looking Forward

It helps to remember that the green bond was not invented by a stroke of genius so much as assembled out of necessity. After the 2007 EIB "Climate Awareness Bond" and the World Bank's 2008 green bond, issuance stayed modest for years, mostly confined to development banks with strong credit ratings and a mandate to demonstrate climate leadership (World Bank, 2015). The real acceleration came after 2013, when corporates, municipalities and eventually sovereign governments began entering the market. France's 2017 sovereign green bond, one of the largest at the time, is often cited as the moment governments signalled that green finance was not merely a niche instrument for development institutions but a mainstream tool of public debt management (Deschryver & de Mariz, 2020).

The Paris Agreement of 2015 gave the market a second wind. Suddenly there was a policy architecture, however imperfect, against which green bonds could be measured: nationally determined contributions, net-zero pledges, and a general recognition that trillions of dollars of infrastructure investment would need to be redirected away from carbon-intensive pathways

(OECD, 2017). Green bonds slotted neatly into that narrative because they offered something rare in policy circles — a way to marry private capital markets with public climate goals without requiring a wholesale rewriting of financial regulation.

By 2023, cumulative green bond issuance had crossed roughly four trillion dollars globally, according to Climate Bonds Initiative tracking, with annual issuance settling into the range of five to six hundred billion dollars a year even amid interest rate volatility (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2023). China, the United States, Germany and France remain the largest issuing jurisdictions, though the more revealing story is the steady, if slow, entry of emerging markets — India, Indonesia, Nigeria and Chile among them — into sovereign green issuance (Tolliver, Keeley & Managi, 2019).

Why the Instrument Works, in Theory

The appeal of a green bond rests on a fairly simple proposition: it does not ask investors to accept a lower return in exchange for environmental virtue. Unlike, say, a pure impact investment that might trade off yield for impact, a green bond typically carries the same credit risk and coupon structure as a conventional bond from the same issuer. The only difference is the use-of-proceeds commitment and, usually, some reporting obligation about where the money went (Flammer, 2021). This is precisely why the market has scaled the way it has — it does not require investors to change their risk appetite, only their preference ordering among otherwise similar assets.

There is also a modest but genuine pricing effect worth mentioning, referred to informally as the "greenium." Several empirical studies have found that green bonds sometimes price a few basis points tighter than comparable conventional bonds from the same issuer, implying investors are willing to accept marginally lower yields for the environmental label (Zerbib, 2019; Baker, Bergstresser, Serafeim & Wurgler, 2018). The effect is small — often under ten basis points — and not universal across markets, but its persistence over several years suggests it is not simply noise. For issuers, even a small greenium translates into real savings on large sovereign or corporate issuances, which is one reason treasuries and corporate finance departments have taken an interest that goes beyond public relations.

Where the Market Seems to Be Heading

Predicting financial markets is a fool's errand at the best of times, but a few directional trends are visible enough to discuss with some confidence.

The first is diversification beyond bonds into a wider family of labelled debt instruments. Green bonds were the first mover, but sustainability-linked bonds, social bonds, blue bonds (for ocean and marine projects) and transition bonds (aimed at hard-to-abate sectors like steel and cement) have all emerged as variations on the theme (International Capital Market Association, 2021). Sustainability-linked bonds in particular are interesting because they do not ring-fence proceeds at all; instead, the coupon rate is tied to whether the issuer meets pre-agreed sustainability performance targets. This is a structurally different bet — it rewards outcomes rather than earmarked spending — and its rapid growth since 2019 suggests investors are hungry for instruments that go beyond simple proceed-tracking (MacAskill, Roca, Liu, Stewart & Sahin, 2021).

The second trend is regulatory convergence, which is likely to be the single biggest determinant of the market's next decade. The European Union's Green Bond Standard, finalised in 2023, is the most ambitious attempt yet to create a legally binding definition of what counts as "green," tied closely to the EU Taxonomy for sustainable activities (European Commission, 2023). If this standard gains traction outside the EU — and there are early signs that issuers elsewhere are voluntarily aligning with it to access European investor pools — it could do for green bonds what the ISO system did for quality management: turn a patchwork of voluntary frameworks into something closer to a common language. The absence of such convergence has, until now, been one of the market's quiet weaknesses, since different verifiers and frameworks (the ICMA Green Bond Principles, the Climate Bonds Standard, national taxonomies in China and India) have not always agreed on what qualifies (Sartzetakis, 2021).

The third trend, and perhaps the one closest to the heart of any political science reading of this subject, is the growing role of sovereign issuers and the geopolitics that comes with it. When a government issues a green bond, it is not merely raising capital; it is making a public commitment that becomes politically costly to abandon. India's own sovereign green bond programme, launched in January 2023, raised over asset sixteen thousand crore rupees in its first tranche and has been positioned explicitly within the country's Panchamrit climate commitments made at COP26 (Reserve Bank of India, 2023; Ministry of Finance, Government of India, 2023). This is a useful illustration of how green bonds function simultaneously as financial instruments and as diplomatic signalling devices — a government can point to its green bond programme in international negotiations as evidence of climate seriousness, even while its broader energy policy continues to include substantial fossil fuel investment. Whether this dual function strengthens or dilutes the credibility of green finance is very much an open question, and one that deserves more attention from scholars of international political economy than it currently receives.

Fourth, there is a slow but real move toward embedding green bonds within broader net-zero transition financing strategies rather than treating them as a standalone product. Central banks and financial regulators, including the Network for Greening the Financial System, have begun incorporating climate-related financial disclosure requirements that indirectly push more issuers toward green-labelled debt as a matter of institutional practice rather than opportunistic pricing (NGFS, 2022). This institutionalisation matters because it suggests the market's future growth will be driven less by investor enthusiasm and more by regulatory necessity, which tends to be a more durable, if less exciting, growth driver.

The Developing World Question

No honest discussion of green bonds' future can avoid the uncomfortable asymmetry between where climate investment is needed most and where the market is currently deepest. The bulk of green bond issuance remains concentrated in advanced economies and China, while sub-Saharan Africa, much of South Asia outside India, and large parts of Latin America account for a strikingly small share of global issuance despite facing some of the steepest climate adaptation costs (UNCTAD, 2022). Part of the explanation is straightforward: green bonds require credible institutions, functioning capital markets, sovereign credit ratings that investors trust, and

verification infrastructure that many lower-income countries simply do not yet possess (Bhutta, Tariq, Farrukh, Raza & Iqbal, 2022).

There have been attempts to bridge this gap. The World Bank and International Finance Corporation have supported green bond issuance in Nigeria, Kenya and Fiji through partial guarantees and technical assistance, essentially lending their credibility to first-time issuers (World Bank, 2019). Multilateral development banks have also experimented with aggregation structures, pooling smaller green projects from several developing countries into a single, more liquid bond that international investors find easier to evaluate. These efforts remain modest relative to the scale of need — the UNCTAD estimate of an annual climate finance gap in developing countries running into the trillions of dollars dwarfs anything green bonds alone can plausibly close (UNCTAD, 2022) — but they represent a genuine, if slow, attempt to democratise access to this financing tool.

For India specifically, the picture is mixed but not discouraging. The sovereign green bond framework has been reasonably well received, and state-level entities and public sector undertakings have begun to explore similar issuances. Yet India's broader climate finance need, estimated by various government and independent assessments to run into several trillion rupees over the coming decades for energy transition alone, means that green bonds will remain one instrument among several rather than a substitute for domestic public investment, foreign direct investment in renewables, and carbon market mechanisms (Ministry of Finance, Government of India, 2023; NITI Aayog, 2021).

The Greenwashing Problem, and Why It Will Not Go Away Quietly

It would be dishonest to write about the future of green bonds without dwelling on the credibility question that follows the instrument like a shadow. Because the "use of proceeds" model relies substantially on issuer self-reporting, verified by third-party reviewers who are paid by the issuer, there is an inherent conflict of interest baked into the system (Flammer, 2021). Several well-publicised cases — including questions raised about the environmental additionality of certain corporate green bonds where proceeds simply refinanced existing assets rather than funding new low-carbon investment — have fed a growing scepticism among more sophisticated investors (Ehlers, Mojon & Packer, 2020).

The academic literature is somewhat divided on how serious this problem is. Some studies find that green bond issuance is associated with genuine improvements in issuers' subsequent environmental performance, measured through reductions in carbon emissions intensity, suggesting the label is not purely cosmetic (Flammer, 2021). Others are more sceptical, noting that the correlation between issuing a green bond and achieving measurable climate outcomes is weaker once one controls for the fact that companies already committed to decarbonisation are the ones most likely to issue green bonds in the first place — a case of selection rather than causation (Fatica & Panzica, 2021).

Whatever side of that debate one finds persuasive, the practical trajectory of the market suggests issuers and regulators alike recognise the problem needs addressing if the instrument is to retain investor trust at scale. The EU Green Bond Standard's insistence on external verification against

the taxonomy, and the growing use of independent second-party opinions from firms like Sustainalytics and Vigeo Eiris, are direct responses to this credibility gap (European Commission, 2023). Whether verification alone is sufficient, or whether the market eventually needs something closer to mandatory, standardised impact reporting audited the way financial statements are audited, remains to be seen. My own reading is that the latter is probably where things are headed, if more slowly than climate advocates would like.

Technology and the Next Decade

A less discussed but potentially significant driver of the market's future is the application of digital infrastructure to green bond issuance and monitoring. Blockchain-based bond platforms have been piloted by the World Bank (the "bond-i" platform) and by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority, with the specific promise of making use-of-proceeds tracking more transparent and harder to misrepresent (World Bank, 2019). Satellite monitoring and remote sensing are also being explored as a way to independently verify whether, for instance, a forestry or renewable energy project financed by a green bond is actually delivering the physical outcomes it claims. These technologies are not yet mainstream, and it would be premature to treat them as a solved problem, but they point toward a plausible future in which the credibility gap discussed above is narrowed not through better paperwork but through better data.

Concluding Reflections

Where does all this leave the green bond as an instrument of climate sustainability? It would be too generous to call it a solution, and too dismissive to call it a distraction. It sits somewhere in between: a genuinely useful piece of financial architecture that channels capital toward environmentally beneficial projects at a scale that pure philanthropy or public budgets could never achieve on their own, but one that carries real limitations in credibility, reach and depth that no amount of market enthusiasm can wish away.

The future prospects of green bonds for climate sustainability will likely depend less on whether the market keeps growing — it almost certainly will, given the regulatory momentum described above — and more on whether that growth is accompanied by genuine tightening of verification standards, meaningful expansion into the developing economies that need climate finance most, and an honest reckoning with the difference between capital that is labelled green and capital that actually changes carbon trajectories. If those three things happen alongside the market's expansion, green bonds could plausibly become one of the more important instruments of the climate transition. If they do not, the instrument risks becoming another example of financial innovation outpacing its own substance, however well-intentioned it began.

For a country like India, straddling the dual imperatives of rapid development and climate responsibility, green bonds are likely to remain an important but partial answer — one piece of a much larger financing puzzle that will also require domestic policy reform, international climate finance flows that finally match their promises, and a political willingness to treat climate commitments as more than diplomatic currency. That, in the end, is probably the more interesting and more difficult question than anything the bond market alone can resolve.

References

- Baker, M., Bergstresser, D., Serafeim, G., & Wurgler, J. (2018). Financing the response to climate change: The pricing and ownership of U.S. green bonds. National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper No. 25194.
- Bhutta, U. S., Tariq, A., Farrukh, M., Raza, A., & Iqbal, M. K. (2022). Green bonds for sustainable development: Review of literature on development and impact of green bonds. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 175, 121378.
- Climate Bonds Initiative. (2023). Green Bond Market Summary 2023. Climate Bonds Initiative.
- Deschryver, P., & de Mariz, F. (2020). What future for the green bond market? How can policymakers, companies, and investors unlock the potential of the green bond market? *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 13(3), 61.
- Ehlers, T., Mojon, B., & Packer, F. (2020). Green bonds and carbon emissions: Exploring the case for a rating system at the firm level. *BIS Quarterly Review*, September 2020.
- European Commission. (2023). European Green Bond Standard: Regulation (EU) 2023/2631. Official Journal of the European Union.
- Fatica, S., & Panzica, R. (2021). Green bonds as a tool against climate change? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(5), 2688–2701.
- Flammer, C. (2021). Corporate green bonds. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 142(2), 499–516.
- International Capital Market Association. (2021). Green Bond Principles: Voluntary Process Guidelines for Issuing Green Bonds. ICMA.
- MacAskill, S., Roca, E., Liu, B., Stewart, R. A., & Sahin, O. (2021). Is there a green premium in the green bond market? Systematic literature review revealing premium determinants. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 280, 124491.
- Ministry of Finance, Government of India. (2023). Sovereign Green Bonds Framework. Department of Economic Affairs, Government of India.
- Network for Greening the Financial System (NGFS). (2022). Enhancing market transparency in green and transition finance. NGFS Technical Document.
- NITI Aayog. (2021). India's Energy Transition: Pathways to a Sustainable Future. Government of India.
- OECD. (2017). Mobilising Bonds Markets for a Low-Carbon Transition. OECD Publishing.
- Reserve Bank of India. (2023). Sovereign Green Bonds: First Issuance Report. RBI Bulletin.
- Sartzetakis, E. S. (2021). Green bonds as an instrument to finance low carbon transition. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, 54(3), 755–779.
- Tolliver, C., Keeley, A. R., & Managi, S. (2019). Green bonds for the Paris Agreement and sustainable development goals. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(6), 064009.
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2022). World Investment Report 2022: International Tax Reforms and Sustainable Investment. United Nations.
- World Bank. (2015). What are green bonds? World Bank Publications.
- World Bank. (2019). Ten Years of Green Bonds: Creating the Blueprint for Sustainability Across Capital Markets. World Bank Group.
- Zerbib, O. D. (2019). The effect of pro-environmental preferences on bond prices: Evidence from green bonds. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 98, 39–60.



EARN YOUR

MBA

WWW.IIMPS.IN



Accreditation & Ranking



UGC / NCTE Approved.

INFO@IIMPS.IN

☎ 011-41005174

RESEARCH
GATEWAY

STOP PLAGIARISM



Arogyam Ayurveda
Holistic Healing through herbs



AROGYAM
ONLINE

PARIVARTAN PSYCHOLOGY CENTER

परिवर्तन

COLOR PSYCHOLOGY : HOW COLOR AFFECT YOUR CHILD



BLUE

Calms your Child's
Mind & Body

YELLOW

Promotes Concentration,
Stimulates the Memory

PINK

Evokes Empathy,
makes your Child Calm

RED

Excites and energizes
your Child's body

GREEN

Improves Reading speed
and Comprehension

www.parivartan4u.com



परिवर्तन



Confuse about your children's future?

भारतीय भाषा, शिक्षा, साहित्य एवं शोध

ISSN 2321 – 9726

WWW.BHARTIYASHODH.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
MANAGEMENT SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY**

ISSN – 2250 – 1959 (O) 2348 – 9367 (P)

WWW.IRJMSST.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
COMMERCE, ARTS AND SCIENCE**

ISSN 2319 – 9202

WWW.CASIRJ.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
MANAGEMENT SOCIOLOGY & HUMANITIES**

ISSN 2277 – 9809 (O) 2348 - 9359 (P)

WWW.IRJMSH.COM



**INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF SCIENCE
ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**

ISSN 2454-3195 (online)

WWW.RJSET.COM



**INTEGRATED RESEARCH JOURNAL OF
MANAGEMENT, SCIENCE AND INNOVATION**

ISSN 2582-5445

WWW.IRJMSI.COM



**JOURNAL OF LEGAL STUDIES, POLITICS
AND ECONOMICS RESEARCH**

WWW.JLPER.COM

JLPE