INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT SOCIOLOGY & HUMANITIES

ISSN 2277 – 9809 (online)
ISSN 2348 - 9359 (Print)

An Internationally Indexed Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal

www.IRJMSH.com
www.isarasolutions.com

Published by iSaRa Solutions

Artificial Intelligence as a Catalyst for Climate Change Action, Environmental Sustainability, and Resilient Development Frameworks

Dr. Babita Banga

(CCT, CGC, Landran, Mohali (Pb.)

Abstract:

The escalating challenges of climate change, environmental degradation, and increasing socio-economic vulnerability have intensified the search for innovative, data-driven solutions capable of supporting sustainable and resilient development. In this context, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a powerful catalyst with the potential to transform climate change action, enhance environmental sustainability, and strengthen resilient development frameworks. This study synthesizes contemporary scholarship to examine how AI-enabled technologies contribute to climate mitigation, adaptation, and sustainability-oriented decision-making. Existing literature highlights that machine learning, deep learning, and advanced analytics significantly improve climate modeling, extreme weather forecasting, emission reduction planning, and early warning systems, thereby enabling more proactive and evidence-based climate governance (Reichstein et al., 2019; Rolnick et al., 2019). Furthermore, AI-driven applications in renewable energy optimization, smart grids, environmental monitoring, and ecosystem management enhance resource efficiency and reduce environmental impacts, supporting long-term sustainability goals (Bamisile et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). The study also situates AI within resilient development frameworks, emphasizing its role in adaptive planning, scenario modeling, and institutional learning under climate uncertainty (Meerow et al., 2016; Vinuesa et al., 2020). However, the literature equally underscores critical challenges, including the environmental footprint of AI systems, governance gaps, ethical concerns, and risks of exacerbating digital and socio-economic inequalities if AI deployment remains unregulated (Floridi et al., 2018; Bieser et al., 2022). By integrating insights from sustainability science, environmental governance, and information systems research, this study concludes that AI's transformative potential depends not solely on technological advancement but on ethical governance, cross-sectoral integration, and alignment with long-term sustainability and resilience objectives. The findings contribute to ongoing academic and policy debates by positioning AI as a strategic enabler of climate-resilient and environmentally sustainable development pathways, while emphasizing the need for responsible, inclusive, and context-sensitive AI adoption to ensure equitable and lasting global impact.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Climate Change Action, Environmental Sustainability, Resilient Development.

Introduction: The accelerating impacts of climate change, environmental degradation, and resource depletion have intensified global demands for innovative, scalable, and data-driven solutions capable of supporting sustainable and resilient development. Traditional policy instruments and environmental management approaches, while essential, have increasingly proven insufficient in addressing the complexity, scale, and uncertainty associated with contemporary climate and sustainability challenges. In this context, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative technological catalyst with the potential to reshape climate action, environmental governance, and sustainable development frameworks by enhancing predictive capacity, optimizing resource use, and enabling evidence-based decision-making across multiple sectors (Rolnick et al., 2019; Vinuesa et al., 2020). AI-driven systems ranging from machine learning and deep neural networks to remote sensing analytics and intelligent optimization models are now being deployed to monitor climate patterns, forecast extreme weather events, improve energy efficiency, manage ecosystems, and support low-carbon transitions, thereby redefining how societies respond to environmental risks and sustainability imperatives (Reichstein et al., 2019). The integration of AI into climate and environmental strategies reflects a broader paradigm shift toward digital sustainability, where computational intelligence complements human expertise to address interconnected socio-environmental systems more effectively than conventional approaches alone (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

Recent research underscores that AI's contribution to climate change mitigation and adaptation lies not only in technological efficiency but also in its capacity to support systemic transformation. AI-enabled climate models have significantly improved the accuracy of climate projections, enabling policymakers to anticipate long-term risks and design adaptive strategies grounded in high-resolution data (Reichstein et al., 2019). In the energy sector, AI applications facilitate smart grid management, renewable energy forecasting, and demand-side optimization, which are critical for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and accelerating the transition to low-carbon energy systems (Qin et al., 2020; Bamisile et al., 2020). Similarly, in environmental sustainability, AI-driven monitoring tools enhance biodiversity conservation, pollution control, and water resource management by enabling real-time detection of environmental changes and rapid response mechanisms (Wang et al., 2020). These capabilities position AI as a strategic enabler of resilient development frameworks that can dynamically adapt to environmental stressors while supporting economic growth and social well-being. However, the literature also cautions that the sustainability benefits of AI are not automatic and depend heavily on governance structures, ethical safeguards, and alignment with sustainability objectives (Vinuesa et al., 2020).

The role of AI in advancing sustainable development has gained particular prominence within the framework of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), where AI is increasingly recognized as both an opportunity and a risk. Empirical studies indicate that AI can positively influence progress toward goals related to climate action (SDG 13), clean energy (SDG 7), sustainable cities (SDG 11), and responsible consumption and production (SDG 12) by improving efficiency, transparency, and accountability in environmental systems (Vinuesa et al., 2020; Dwivedi et al., 2021). At the same time, scholars warn that unregulated AI deployment may exacerbate environmental footprints through increased energy consumption of data centers, digital inequality, and techno-centric policy biases that marginalize vulnerable communities (Crawford, 2021; Bieser et al., 2022). This duality underscores the necessity of embedding AI within resilient development frameworks that balance technological innovation with social equity, environmental justice, and long-term sustainability. Resilient development, in this sense, extends beyond infrastructural robustness to include institutional adaptability, inclusive governance, and the capacity to absorb and recover from climate-induced shocks (Meerow et al., 2016). AI has the potential to strengthen these dimensions by supporting adaptive planning, early warning systems, and scenario-based policy analysis across scales.

Despite the growing body of global research, significant gaps remain in understanding how AI-driven climate and sustainability solutions can be systematically integrated into development frameworks, particularly in diverse socio-economic and institutional contexts. Much of the existing literature focuses on sector-specific applications such as energy or agriculture without adequately addressing cross-sectoral coordination, policy coherence, and governance mechanisms necessary for resilient outcomes (Rolnick et al., 2019; Dwivedi et al., 2021). Moreover, while advanced economies have made substantial progress in leveraging AI for environmental management, developing and emerging economies face constraints related to data availability, technical capacity, and institutional readiness, raising concerns about unequal benefits and digital divides in climate resilience (Bamisile et al., 2020). These disparities highlight the importance of contextualized frameworks that align AI deployment with local development priorities, capacity-building initiatives, and participatory decision-making processes. Scholars increasingly argue that AI must be viewed not merely as a technical tool but as a socio-technical system whose effectiveness depends on human agency, regulatory oversight, and ethical design principles (Floridi et al., 2018; Vinuesa et al., 2020).

Against this backdrop, examining Artificial Intelligence as a catalyst for climate change action, environmental sustainability, and resilient development frameworks is both timely and necessary. This study situates AI within an interdisciplinary discourse that bridges climate science, environmental management, digital innovation, and development studies. The introduction of AI into sustainability pathways offers unprecedented opportunities to enhance resilience, reduce emissions, and optimize natural resource use, yet it simultaneously demands careful consideration of governance, accountability, and long-term environmental costs. Understanding this balance is critical for designing AI-enabled development frameworks that are not only technologically advanced but also socially inclusive, environmentally responsible, and resilient to future uncertainties. Consequently, this research seeks to contribute to the evolving scholarly and policy debate by framing AI as a strategic enabler of climate-resilient and sustainable development, emphasizing that its transformative potential can only be realized through deliberate alignment with sustainability goals, ethical governance, and adaptive institutional structures.

Relationship between Artificial Intelligence (AI), climate change action, environmental sustainability, and resilient development frameworks:

The relationship between Artificial Intelligence (AI), climate change action, environmental sustainability, and resilient development frameworks is increasingly conceptualized as a dynamic, mutually reinforcing system in which technological intelligence enhances environmental decision-making while sustainability imperatives shape the ethical, institutional, and strategic deployment of AI. Contemporary research demonstrates that AI functions not merely as a supporting digital tool but as a systemic enabler that connects climate science, environmental management, and development planning through data-driven intelligence, predictive analytics, and adaptive optimization (Rolnick et al., 2019; Vinuesa et al., 2020). At the core of this relationship lies AI's capacity to process vast, complex, and heterogeneous environmental datasets satellite imagery, climate simulations, sensor networks, and socio-economic indicators thereby improving the accuracy of climate modeling, early warning systems, and risk assessment frameworks essential for both mitigation and adaptation strategies (Reichstein et al., 2019). These enhanced analytical capabilities directly support climate change action by enabling governments and institutions to anticipate extreme weather events, optimize emission reduction pathways, and allocate resources more efficiently, thus strengthening the evidence base for resilient development policies.

From an environmental sustainability perspective, AI mediates the relationship between human activity and ecological systems by enabling continuous monitoring, intelligent control, and real-time feedback mechanisms. Research highlights that AI-driven applications in energy systems, such as smart grids, demand forecasting, and renewable integration, significantly reduce carbon intensity while improving system reliability—key attributes of sustainable and resilient infrastructure (Bamisile et al., 2020). Similarly, AI-based environmental monitoring systems contribute to biodiversity conservation, pollution detection, water management, and land-use planning by identifying patterns and anomalies that are difficult to capture through conventional methods (Wang et al., 2020). These applications illustrate how AI strengthens sustainability outcomes by translating environmental data into actionable insights, thereby linking ecological preservation with economic and social development objectives. However, the literature also emphasizes that this relationship is bidirectional: sustainability goals increasingly influence AI design priorities, encouraging the development of energy-efficient algorithms, green data centers, and low-carbon digital infrastructures to minimize AI's own environmental footprint (Bieser et al., 2022).

Resilient development frameworks provide the institutional and governance context within which the AI–climate–sustainability relationship operates. Resilience is understood not only as the capacity to withstand environmental shocks but also as the ability of systems to adapt, learn, and transform in response to long-term climate stressors (Meerow et al., 2016). AI contributes to this adaptive capacity by supporting scenario modeling, stress testing, and policy simulations that enable decision-makers to evaluate alternative development pathways under uncertainty (Dwivedi et al., 2021). For example, AI-enabled urban planning tools integrate climate risk data with socio-economic indicators to support resilient city design, infrastructure placement, and disaster preparedness. At the same time, resilient development frameworks shape the responsible deployment of AI by embedding it within principles of inclusivity, transparency, and accountability, ensuring that technological solutions do not exacerbate social inequalities or environmental injustices (Floridi et al., 2018; Crawford, 2021). This interdependence highlights that AI-driven climate action is most effective when aligned with governance structures that prioritize long-term sustainability over short-term efficiency gains.

The literature further reveals that the relationship between AI and sustainable development is mediated by policy coherence and institutional capacity. While AI offers significant potential to

accelerate progress toward climate-related Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), fragmented governance, regulatory uncertainty, and uneven digital capacity often limit its transformative impact, particularly in developing economies (Vinuesa et al., 2020). Studies emphasize that resilient development requires integrative frameworks where AI adoption is coordinated across sectors energy, agriculture, transportation, and urban systems—rather than implemented in isolation (Rolnick et al., 2019). Moreover, ethical considerations such as data governance, algorithmic bias, and environmental externalities play a crucial role in shaping public trust and long-term viability of AI-enabled sustainability initiatives (Floridi et al., 2018). These factors illustrate that the relationship among AI, climate
action, and sustainability is contingent upon human agency, institutional readiness, and normative alignment with environmental values.

The strength of this relationship lies in its integrative nature: AI enhances analytical capacity and operational efficiency, sustainability objectives guide ethical and low-impact AI deployment, and resilience frameworks ensure adaptability and inclusiveness in the face of uncertainty. However, the relationship is neither automatic nor universally positive; it requires deliberate policy design, cross-sectoral collaboration, and continuous evaluation to balance technological innovation with ecological integrity and social equity. Recognizing and strengthening this interconnected relationship is essential for leveraging AI not only as a technological advancement but as a strategic instrument for achieving climate-resilient, environmentally sustainable, and socially inclusive development in an era of escalating global environmental challenges.

Methodology

This study adopts a systematic and interdisciplinary methodological framework to examine *Artificial Intelligence as a Catalyst for Climate Change Action, Environmental Sustainability, and Resilient Development Frameworks*, drawing upon established practices in sustainability science, information systems research, and environmental governance literature. A qualitative systematic literature review approach was employed to synthesize high-quality peer-reviewed research indexed in Scopus and ABDC-ranked journals, ensuring academic rigor, relevance, and global credibility (Tranfield et al., 2003; Dwivedi et al., 2021). The review process followed a structured protocol consisting of identification, screening, eligibility, and synthesis stages. Academic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, and SpringerLink were searched using predefined keywords such as "Artificial Intelligence and Climate Change," "AI for Environmental Sustainability," "Resilient Development Frameworks," and "Digital Sustainability." Only English-language articles published between 2015 and 2024 were considered, reflecting the rapid evolution of AI technologies and contemporary sustainability challenges. Inclusion criteria focused on empirical, conceptual, and review studies that explicitly examined AI applications in climate mitigation, adaptation, environmental monitoring, sustainable resource management, or resilience planning, while non-peer-reviewed sources and purely technical papers lacking sustainability relevance were excluded.

To enhance analytical robustness, the selected literature was analyzed using thematic content analysis, allowing the identification of recurring patterns, conceptual linkages, and research gaps across domains (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Key themes such as AI-enabled climate modeling, renewable energy optimization, environmental monitoring, ethical governance, and resilience-oriented policy frameworks were coded and synthesized to construct an integrative understanding of AI's catalytic role. Conceptual triangulation was applied by mapping findings across environmental science, development studies, and information systems literature, thereby reducing disciplinary bias and strengthening validity (Reichstein et al., 2019; Vinuesa et al., 2020). In addition, the study draws on established theoretical perspectives, including resilience theory, socio-technical systems theory, and sustainable development frameworks, to contextualize AI as both a technological and institutional enabler of climate action (Meerow et al., 2016; Floridi et al., 2018).

Analytical rigor was further enhanced through cross-sectoral comparison, examining how AI applications operate across energy, urban planning, agriculture, and ecosystem management to support sustainability and

resilience objectives. Descriptive synthesis was complemented by critical evaluation of governance, ethical, and environmental implications associated with AI deployment, addressing concerns related to data governance, algorithmic bias, and environmental footprints (Bieser et al., 2022). While the methodology does not involve primary data collection, it ensures transparency and reproducibility through explicit search strategies, inclusion criteria, and analytical procedures. Overall, this methodology provides a robust and systematic foundation for evaluating AI's role in climate change action and sustainable, resilient development, aligning with best practices in high-impact sustainability and information systems research.

Literature review:

The scholarly discourse on *Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a catalyst for climate change action, environmental sustainability, and resilient development frameworks* has expanded rapidly within journals, reflecting growing recognition of AI's capacity to address complex, data-intensive environmental challenges. Early literature establishes AI as a powerful analytical instrument capable of processing large-scale climatic, ecological, and socio-economic datasets to enhance prediction accuracy and decision-making efficiency in environmental governance (Reichstein et al., 2019). Studies in high-impact journals emphasize that machine learning, deep learning, and hybrid AI models have significantly advanced climate modeling by improving the resolution of weather forecasting, carbon cycle estimation, and climate sensitivity analysis, thereby strengthening mitigation and adaptation strategies (Rolnick et al., 2019). This technological advancement marks a shift from static, linear policy models toward dynamic, adaptive systems that align with resilience-based development thinking. Scholars argue that AI's predictive intelligence enables proactive climate action by identifying risk patterns and intervention windows that traditional statistical models often fail to detect (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

A substantial body of literature focuses on AI's role in environmental sustainability, particularly in energy systems, natural resource management, and ecosystem monitoring. Research published in *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* and *Journal of Cleaner Production* demonstrates that AI-driven optimization models significantly enhance renewable energy integration by improving solar and wind forecasting, demand-side management, and smart grid resilience, leading to measurable reductions in greenhouse gas emissions (Bamisile et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2020). Similarly, AI-based remote sensing and computer vision techniques have been widely applied in biodiversity conservation, deforestation monitoring, air quality assessment, and water resource management, enabling real-time surveillance and rapid response to environmental degradation (Wang et al., 2020). These studies collectively highlight that AI strengthens sustainability outcomes by transforming environmental data into actionable intelligence that supports evidence-based policy and operational efficiency. However, scholars caution that sustainability gains are contingent upon responsible AI deployment, as energy-intensive computational processes and data infrastructures may offset environmental benefits if not carefully managed (Bieser et al., 2022).

The intersection of AI and resilient development frameworks constitutes a critical dimension of the literature, emphasizing adaptability, learning capacity, and systemic transformation in the face of climate-induced uncertainties. Resilience-focused research defines resilient development as the ability of socio-ecological systems to anticipate, absorb, and adapt to shocks while maintaining core functions and advancing sustainability goals (Meerow et al., 2016). Within this framework, AI is increasingly viewed as an enabler of adaptive governance, supporting scenario modeling, stress testing, and policy simulation under uncertain climate futures (Dwivedi et al., 2021). Studies in *Nature Communications* and *Landscape and Urban Planning* indicate that AI-enabled urban planning tools integrate climate risk data with socio-economic indicators to enhance disaster preparedness, infrastructure planning, and urban sustainability, particularly in climate-vulnerable regions (Vinuesa et al., 2020). These contributions reinforce the notion that AI enhances resilience by enabling iterative learning and continuous system optimization rather than static risk management.

A growing strand of literature also interrogates the ethical, governance, and socio-technical dimensions of AI-driven climate and sustainability initiatives. Scholars argue that AI is not a neutral technological artifact but a socio-technical system embedded within power structures, institutional arrangements, and normative frameworks (Floridi et al., 2018). Research published in *Minds and Machines* and *International Journal of Information Management* highlights concerns related to algorithmic bias, data governance, transparency, and accountability, emphasizing that unregulated AI deployment may exacerbate environmental injustice and socio-economic inequalities (Dwivedi et al., 2021; Crawford, 2021). These studies stress the importance of aligning AI development with sustainability ethics, ensuring that technological efficiency does not override principles of equity, inclusivity, and environmental justice. Furthermore, literature on digital sustainability emphasizes the need for "green AI" approaches that prioritize energy-efficient algorithms, low-carbon data centers, and lifecycle-based assessments of AI systems to minimize ecological footprints (Bieser et al., 2022). The role of AI in advancing the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) has also been extensively examined, with empirical evidence suggesting that AI can positively influence progress toward climate action (SDG 13), clean energy (SDG 7), sustainable cities (SDG 11), and responsible consumption and production (SDG 12) when strategically aligned with policy frameworks (Vinuesa et al., 2020). However, the literature reveals uneven geographical and institutional adoption patterns, with developing economies facing challenges related to data scarcity, technical capacity, and governance readiness (Rolnick et al., 2019). Studies argue that without inclusive capacity-building and context-sensitive policy design, AI-enabled climate solutions risk reinforcing global digital divides and limiting resilience outcomes in vulnerable regions (Bamisile et al., 2020). This gap underscores the need for integrated frameworks that combine AI innovation with institutional reform, stakeholder participation, and localized knowledge systems.

Artificial Intelligence holds transformative potential as a catalyst for climate change action, environmental sustainability, and resilient development, yet its effectiveness is conditional upon governance quality, ethical alignment, and systemic integration. While sector-specific studies demonstrate AI's capacity to enhance efficiency and predictive accuracy, broader resilience-oriented scholarship calls for cross-sectoral coordination and adaptive policy frameworks that embed AI within long-term sustainability strategies. The literature thus highlights a critical research gap in developing holistic, interdisciplinary models that connect AI capabilities with environmental ethics, institutional resilience, and inclusive development outcomes. Addressing this gap is essential for advancing AI not merely as a technological solution but as a strategic instrument for fostering climate-resilient, environmentally sustainable, and socially equitable development pathways in an era of accelerating global environmental change.

The growing body of literature positions Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a transformative enabler in addressing climate change, advancing environmental sustainability, and strengthening resilient development frameworks. Scholars emphasize that AI's capacity to process large-scale, complex environmental datasets has significantly enhanced climate modeling, risk forecasting, and policy decision-making, thereby improving both mitigation and adaptation strategies (Reichstein et al., 2019; Rolnick et al., 2019). Machine learning and deep learning techniques have been widely applied to analyze climate patterns, predict extreme weather events, and optimize emission reduction pathways, allowing policymakers to move from reactive responses toward anticipatory climate action. This predictive capability aligns closely with resilience-oriented development approaches that prioritize adaptability and learning under uncertainty. Research further demonstrates that AI-driven systems contribute to environmental sustainability by optimizing resource use across energy, agriculture, and urban systems. Studies in *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* and *Journal of Cleaner Production* highlight AI's role in improving renewable energy forecasting, smart grid management, and demand-side efficiency, resulting in measurable reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and enhanced energy system resilience (Bamisile et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2020).

Beyond energy systems, AI-enabled remote sensing and environmental monitoring tools have strengthened biodiversity conservation, pollution control, and water resource management by enabling real-time detection of ecological change and rapid intervention (Wang et al., 2020). These applications illustrate how AI bridges environmental data and actionable sustainability practices, reinforcing its catalytic role in sustainable development. However, scholars caution that the environmental benefits of AI are conditional upon responsible deployment. Research on "green AI" stresses that energy-intensive computational processes, data centers, and algorithmic complexity may increase carbon footprints if sustainability principles are not embedded in AI design and governance (Bieser et al., 2022). This concern underscores the importance of aligning AI innovation with environmental ethics and low-impact digital infrastructure.

The literature also situates AI within resilient development frameworks that emphasize institutional adaptability, inclusive governance, and systemic transformation. Resilience-oriented studies argue that AI enhances adaptive capacity by supporting scenario modeling, stress testing, and policy simulation under uncertain climate futures (Meerow et al., 2016; Dwivedi et al., 2021). AI-enabled urban planning and disaster management tools integrate climate risk data with socio-economic indicators to improve preparedness and long-term sustainability, particularly in climate-vulnerable regions (Vinuesa et al., 2020). At the same time, critical scholarship highlights governance and equity challenges, noting that unregulated AI deployment may exacerbate digital divides, environmental injustice, and socio-economic inequality (Floridi et al., 2018; Crawford, 2021). Overall, research converges on the view that AI holds significant potential as a catalyst for climate action and sustainable development, but its transformative impact depends on ethical governance, cross-sectoral integration, and alignment with resilient development principles.

Summary of Key Findings:

The synthesis of literature reveals that Artificial Intelligence (AI) functions as a powerful catalytic force in advancing climate change action, environmental sustainability, and resilient development frameworks by enhancing analytical capacity, decision-making accuracy, and systemic adaptability. A key finding is that AI significantly improves climate change mitigation and adaptation through advanced predictive modeling and data-driven forecasting. Machine learning and deep learning techniques have enhanced the precision of climate simulations, extreme weather prediction, and emission trajectory analysis, enabling policymakers and planners to shift from reactive responses to proactive, anticipatory climate action (Reichstein et al., 2019; Rolnick et al., 2019). These capabilities support evidence-based policy formulation and strengthen early warning systems, which are central to climate resilience. The literature further highlights that AI-driven tools play a critical role in environmental sustainability by optimizing resource use across sectors such as energy, agriculture, water, and urban systems. Empirical studies demonstrate that AI applications in renewable energy forecasting, smart grid management, and demand optimization contribute to reduced greenhouse gas emissions, improved energy efficiency, and greater system reliability, reinforcing the transition toward low-carbon and sustainable economies (Bamisile et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2020).

Another major finding is that AI enhances environmental monitoring and ecosystem management through real-time data collection and intelligent pattern recognition. AI-enabled remote sensing and computer vision systems have improved biodiversity conservation, deforestation tracking, pollution detection, and water quality management by enabling rapid identification of environmental changes and timely interventions (Wang et al., 2020). These advancements strengthen sustainability outcomes by bridging the gap between environmental data and actionable governance responses. However, the literature consistently cautions that the sustainability benefits of AI are conditional rather than automatic. Research on the environmental footprint of AI underscores concerns related to high energy consumption of data centers, computational intensity, and digital infrastructure, emphasizing the need for "green AI" approaches that prioritize energy efficiency and low-carbon digital ecosystems (Bieser et al., 2022).

From a development perspective, key findings indicate that AI contributes to resilient development frameworks by enhancing adaptive capacity, institutional learning, and scenario-based planning. Resilience-oriented studies show that AI supports stress testing, policy simulation, and adaptive governance under climate uncertainty, enabling systems to absorb shocks while maintaining long-term development goals (Meerow et al., 2016; Dwivedi et al., 2021). AI-enabled urban planning and disaster management tools integrate climate risk data with socio-economic indicators to improve preparedness and infrastructure resilience, particularly in climate-vulnerable regions (Vinuesa et al., 2020). At the same time, governance and ethical considerations emerge as critical moderating factors. The literature highlights that unregulated or techno-centric AI deployment may exacerbate digital divides, environmental injustice, and socio-economic inequality, undermining resilience and sustainability objectives (Floridi et al., 2018; Crawford, 2021). Overall, the key findings converge on the conclusion that AI's effectiveness as a catalyst for climate action and sustainable, resilient development depends on ethical governance, cross-sectoral integration, and alignment with long-term environmental and social priorities, rather than on technological capability alone.

Suggestion:

To effectively harness Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a catalyst for climate change action, environmental sustainability, and resilient development frameworks, the literature suggests a set of strategic, governance-oriented, and technological interventions that move beyond isolated applications toward systemic integration. First, policymakers and development institutions should embed AI explicitly within national and regional climate strategies, ensuring that AI-driven tools are aligned with long-term mitigation, adaptation, and resilience objectives rather than short-term efficiency gains (Rolnick et al., 2019; Vinuesa et al., 2020). This requires the development of integrated policy frameworks that coordinate AI deployment across key sectors such as energy, agriculture, water, transportation, and urban planning, thereby enabling cross-sectoral data sharing and coherent climate action. Establishing standardized protocols for climate data governance including data quality, interoperability, and open access—can further enhance the effectiveness of AI-driven environmental decision-making while promoting transparency and accountability (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

Second, investments in "green AI" should be prioritized to ensure that the environmental footprint of AI systems does not undermine sustainability goals. Research emphasizes the need for energy-efficient algorithms, low-carbon data centers, and lifecycle-based assessments of AI technologies to minimize emissions associated with computation and data storage (Bieser et al., 2022).

Governments and funding agencies can incentivize sustainable AI innovation by supporting research on low-energy machine learning models and integrating environmental impact criteria into AI procurement and evaluation processes. Such measures would align technological advancement with environmental responsibility, reinforcing AI's legitimacy as a sustainability tool.

Third, capacity building and institutional readiness are essential for translating AI potential into resilient development outcomes, particularly in developing and climate-vulnerable regions. The literature highlights the importance of strengthening technical expertise, data infrastructure, and interdisciplinary collaboration among climate scientists, AI specialists, policymakers, and local stakeholders (Bamisile et al., 2020). Targeted training programs and knowledge-transfer initiatives can help bridge digital divides and ensure that AI-enabled climate solutions are context-sensitive and inclusive. Moreover, participatory approaches that involve local communities in the design and implementation of AI-driven environmental interventions can enhance social acceptance, equity, and long-term resilience (Meerow et al., 2016).

Finally, robust ethical and governance frameworks must guide AI deployment to safeguard against unintended social and environmental consequences. Scholars emphasize the need for regulatory oversight mechanisms that address algorithmic bias, data privacy, and environmental justice, ensuring that AI-supported climate action benefits marginalized and vulnerable populations (Floridi et al., 2018; Crawford, 2021). Embedding ethical review processes and continuous impact assessments within AI-enabled sustainability projects can promote responsible innovation and adaptive learning. Overall, the literature suggests that AI's transformative role in climate change action and sustainable development will be realized only when technological innovation is integrated with ethical governance, institutional capacity, and resilience-oriented planning, positioning AI as a strategic enabler of inclusive and environmentally sustainable futures.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the accumulated evidence clearly establishes Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a transformative catalyst capable of reshaping climate change action, advancing environmental sustainability, and strengthening resilient development frameworks when strategically and ethically deployed. The literature demonstrates that AI's most significant contribution lies in its unparalleled capacity to analyze vast, complex, and dynamic environmental datasets, thereby enhancing predictive accuracy, decision-making quality, and adaptive capacity across climate mitigation and adaptation domains (Reichstein et al., 2019; Rolnick et al., 2019). Through advanced machine learning and deep learning models, AI has improved climate forecasting, extreme weather prediction, and emission pathway optimization, enabling policymakers to transition from reactive crisis management toward proactive and anticipatory climate governance. These capabilities are particularly critical in an era characterized by increasing climate volatility, where timely and data-driven interventions are essential for minimizing environmental, economic, and social losses. At the same time, AI's role in optimizing renewable energy systems, smart grids, and demand-side management underscores its potential to accelerate the global transition toward low-carbon and energy-efficient economies, reinforcing environmental sustainability as a core pillar of development (Bamisile et al., 2020).

Beyond mitigation, the literature highlights AI's growing importance in environmental monitoring and ecosystem management, where real-time sensing, pattern recognition, and anomaly detection have enhanced biodiversity conservation, pollution control, and water and land resource governance (Wang et al., 2020). These applications bridge the persistent gap between environmental data generation and actionable policy response, allowing institutions to respond more rapidly and effectively to ecological degradation. However, the reviewed research also makes it clear that AI's sustainability benefits are neither automatic nor guaranteed. Scholars caution that the computational intensity of AI systems, energy consumption of data centers, and expanding digital infrastructures may contribute to environmental footprints if sustainability principles are not embedded in AI design and deployment (Bieser et al., 2022). This duality reinforces the necessity of adopting "green AI" approaches that prioritize energy-efficient algorithms, low-carbon infrastructure, and lifecycle-based assessments, ensuring that AI supports environmental sustainability rather than undermines it. From a development perspective, the literature converges on the view that AI strengthens resilient development frameworks by enhancing adaptability, institutional learning, and systemic transformation in the face of climate-induced uncertainty. Resilience-oriented scholarship emphasizes that resilient development extends beyond physical infrastructure to include governance systems capable of anticipating shocks, learning from disruptions, and adapting over time (Meerow et al., 2016). AI contributes directly to these capacities by enabling scenario modeling, stress testing, and policy simulations that allow governments and institutions to evaluate alternative development pathways under diverse climate futures (Dwivedi et al., 2021). AI-enabled tools in urban planning, disaster risk reduction, and infrastructure design integrate climate risk data with socio-economic indicators, supporting more inclusive and forward-looking resilience planning, particularly in climate-vulnerable regions (Vinuesa et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the literature also underscores that resilient outcomes depend on institutional readiness, governance quality, and cross-sectoral coordination, without which AI risks remaining fragmented, experimental, or inequitably distributed.

A recurring theme across high-impact studies is the centrality of governance, ethics, and equity in shaping AI's long-term role in climate and sustainability agendas. Scholars consistently argue that AI is a socio-technical system embedded within power relations, regulatory structures, and normative values, rather than a neutral technological solution (Floridi et al., 2018). Without appropriate oversight, AI-driven climate interventions may exacerbate digital divides, marginalize vulnerable populations, and reinforce existing environmental injustices, thereby undermining the very resilience and sustainability they seek to promote (Crawford, 2021). Consequently, ethical governance frameworks that address transparency, accountability, data sovereignty, and algorithmic bias are essential for aligning AI innovation with principles of social justice and environmental stewardship. The literature further emphasizes the need for inclusive capacity-building initiatives, particularly in developing economies, to ensure that AI-enabled climate solutions are context-sensitive, participatory, and responsive to local development priorities (Rolnick et al., 2019).

This research leads to the conclusion that Artificial Intelligence holds substantial promise as a strategic enabler of climate change action, environmental sustainability, and resilient development, but only when integrated within coherent policy frameworks, ethical governance structures, and long-term sustainability objectives. AI's transformative potential lies not merely in technological sophistication but in its ability to support systemic change—enhancing predictive intelligence, optimizing resource use, and strengthening adaptive governance across interconnected socio-environmental systems. To realize this potential, future climate and development strategies must move beyond isolated AI applications toward holistic, cross-sectoral frameworks that align digital innovation with ecological integrity, social equity, and institutional resilience. In doing so, AI can evolve from a powerful analytical tool into a cornerstone of sustainable and climate-resilient development pathways, contributing meaningfully to global efforts to address climate change while safeguarding environmental systems and human well-being for future generations.

Gap/Limitations:

Despite offering a comprehensive synthesis of Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a catalyst for climate change action, environmental sustainability, and resilient development frameworks, the study is subject to several limitations that should be acknowledged to contextualize its findings and guide future research. First, the study is primarily based on secondary data which limits its ability to empirically validate the real-world effectiveness of AI-driven sustainability interventions across diverse geographic and institutional contexts (Rolnick et al., 2019; Vinuesa et al., 2020). While existing studies provide strong theoretical and sector-specific evidence, the absence of large-scale longitudinal and comparative empirical datasets constrains deeper insights into long-term resilience outcomes and causal relationships between AI deployment and environmental performance. Second, much of the high-impact literature is concentrated in advanced economies, where digital infrastructure, data availability, and institutional capacity are relatively strong. This creates a contextual bias that limits the generalizability of findings to developing and least-developed regions, where climate vulnerability is often highest but AI readiness remains limited due to data scarcity, skill gaps, and governance constraints (Bamisile et al., 2020).

Another limitation relates to the fragmented nature of existing research, which often focuses on sector-specific applications such as renewable energy, urban planning, or climate modeling rather than integrated, cross-sectoral frameworks that capture the systemic complexity of resilient development (Dwivedi et al., 2021). As a result, the study relies on synthesis rather than direct evaluation of holistic AI-enabled development models, potentially overlooking interdependencies among social, economic, and ecological systems. Additionally, the rapid pace of AI innovation presents a temporal limitation; findings derived from current literature may n discussed conceptually rather than empirically measured, limiting the ability to quantify their impact on quickly become outdated as new algorithms, computational architectures, and governance models emerge. The study also acknowledges limited empirical assessment of the environmental costs associated with AI itself, including energy consumption of data centers and lifecycle emissions of digital infrastructure, as such assessments remain underdeveloped in the literature (Bieser et al., 2022).

Furthermore, ethical, social, and governance dimensions such as algorithmic bias, data sovereignty, and environmental justice are ofte sustainability and resilience outcomes (Floridi et al., 2018; Crawford, 2021). Finally, the study does not incorporate primary stakeholder perspectives from policymakers, communities, or industry actors, which restricts insights into implementation challenges, institutional resistance, and socio-political dynamics influencing AI adoption for climate action. Addressing these limitations through mixed-method, longitudinal, and region-specific empirical research will be essential for advancing a more nuanced and actionable understanding of AI's role in climate-resilient and environmentally sustainable development.

References:

Albrecht, J., & Arts, B. (2019). Climate change governance and policy integration. Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning, 21(4), 413–427.

Anderson, K., & Peters, G. (2016). The trouble with negative emissions. Science, 354(6309), 182–183.

Bai, X., et al. (2018). Six research priorities for cities and climate change. Nature Climate Change, 8, 947–951. Bamisile, O., Huang, Q., Xu, X., & Hu, W. (2020). Application of artificial intelligence in renewable energy systems: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 127, 109809.

Batty, M. (2018). Artificial intelligence and smart cities. Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science, 45(1), 3–6.

Bieser, J., Hilty, L. M., & Krause, J. (2022). Assessing the environmental impacts of artificial intelligence. Environmental Research Letters, 17(4), 043002.

Bond, M., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Nichols, M. (2021). Revisiting five decades of educational technology research. British Journal of Educational Technology, 52(1), 1–18.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.

Bremer, S., Meisch, S., & Mölders, T. (2021). Governance of climate change adaptation. Sustainability Science, 16(1), 1–14.

Bui, T. D., Tsai, F. T. C., & Huang, S. (2020). Machine learning frameworks for flood forecasting. Journal of Hydrology, 584, 124682.

Bunn, D. W. (2020). Artificial intelligence and energy futures. Energy Policy, 147, 111853.

Butler, R. A. (2020). Artificial intelligence for conservation science. Conservation Biology, 34(5), 1023–1027. Calderón, C., & Stern, N. (2021). Infrastructure, growth, and climate resilience. World Development, 138, 105213.

Campbell, B. M., Hansen, J., Rioux, J., Stirling, C. M., & Twomlow, S. (2018). Urgent action to combat climate change. Agricultural Systems, 164, 1–9.

Chen, B., et al. (2020). Artificial intelligence in water resource sustainability. Water Resources Research, 56(9), e2020WR027567.
Cheng, X., Wang, X., Huang, J., & Zarifis, A. (2020). Evaluation of AI-based instructional systems. Computers & Education, 150, 103832.
Cowls, J., & Floridi, L. (2018). Prolegomena to a white paper on ethical AI. Philosophy & Technology, 31(4), 689–709.
Crawford, K. (2021). Atlas of AI: Power, politics, and the planetary costs of artificial intelligence. Yale University Press.
Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). Sage.
Creutzig, F., et al. (2022). Demand-side solutions to climate change. Nature Climate Change, 12, 36–46. D'Adamo, I., Gastaldi, M., & Rosa, P. (2020). Circular economy and AI. Journal of Cleaner Production, 268, 122084.
Daron, J. D., & Stainforth, D. A. (2019). Climate information and decision-making. Climate Policy, 19(5), 566–578.
Deng, S., et al. (2021). Deep learning-based climate data downscaling. Journal of Climate, 34(10), 3913–3930. Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence: Challenges and agenda. International Journal of Information Management, 57, 101994.
Elkington, J. (2018). 25 years of triple bottom line. Harvard Business Review.
Esteves, L. S., et al. (2019). Managed realignment and coastal resilience. Ocean & Coastal Management, 182, 104915.
Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., et al. (2018). AI4People: Ethical framework. Minds and Machines, 28(4), 689–707.
Fountas, S., et al. (2020). Smart farming and sustainability. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 172, 105308.
Fuso Nerini, F., Sovacool, B., Hughes, N., et al. (2019). Connecting climate action with SDGs. Nature Sustainability, 2, 674–680.
Gandomi, A., & Haider, M. (2015). Big data analytics. International Journal of Information Management, 35(2), 137–144.
Geels, F. W. (2019). Socio-technical transitions. Research Policy, 48(7), 103–115.
Gifford, R. (2018). The psychology of climate change mitigation. American Psychologist, 73(4), 415–427. Gill, J. C., & Malamud, B. D. (2017). Hazard interactions and resilience. Earth-Science Reviews, 176, 1–15. Goldstein, A., et al. (2020). Climate information for resilience planning. Global Environmental Change, 65, 102151.
Gorelick, N., et al. (2017). Google Earth Engine. Remote Sensing of Environment, 202, 18–27. Griggs, D., et al. (2017). Sustainable development goals framework. Nature Sustainability, 1, 63–68.
Hazarika, N., & Das, A. K. (2021). AI-driven disaster management frameworks. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 62, 102401.

He, B. J., et al. (2019). Urban heat island mitigation strategies. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 109, 487–502.

Hilty, L. M., & Aebischer, B. (2015). ICT for sustainability. Sustainability Science, 10(3), 475–485. Hsu, A., et al. (2020). Data-driven sustainability indicators. Nature Sustainability, 3, 672–680.

Huang, G., et al. (2020). AI-assisted environmental impact assessment. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 84, 106441.

IPCC. (2023). Sixth assessment report. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Jain, R., et al. (2021). Big data and AI for climate-smart agriculture. Agricultural Systems, 187, 102988. Jasanoff, S. (2018). Just transitions and climate futures. Energy Research & Social Science, 44, 18–23. Keesstra, S., et al. (2018). Land degradation and sustainability. Land Degradation & Development, 29(5), 1322–1334.

Klerkx, L., Jakku, E., & Labarthe, P. (2019). Digital agriculture. Agricultural Systems, 184, 102912. Kotsiantis, S. B., et al. (2020). Machine learning in environmental informatics. Ecological Informatics, 60, 101164.

Leach, M., et al. (2018). Equity and sustainability transitions. Global Environmental Change, 53, 1–11. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. Nature, 521(7553), 436–444.

Lemos, M. C., et al. (2019). Climate information usability. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 39, 70–76.

Li, X., Zhang, C., & Li, W. (2021). AI for urban sustainability. Sustainable Cities and Society, 66, 102684. Li, Z., et al. (2022). Artificial intelligence for climate-resilient infrastructure. Engineering, 8(6), 777–788.

Liu, Y., et al. (2021). Deep learning for land-use change detection. Remote Sensing of Environment, 253, 112204.

Lyu, H., et al. (2020). AI and air quality. Environmental Science & Technology, 54(23), 15124–15134. Masud, M., et al. (2020). AI-enabled smart energy management. IEEE Access, 8, 154315–154327.

McDermott, T. K. J., et al. (2021). Climate resilience and development pathways. World Development, 138, 105213.

Meerow, S., Newell, J. P., & Stults, M. (2016). Urban resilience. Landscape and Urban Planning, 147, 38–49. Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). TPACK framework. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017–1054.

Moss, R. H., et al. (2015). The next generation of climate scenarios. Nature, 463, 747–756.

Nelson, G. C., et al. (2018). Climate change impacts on agriculture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(9), 3274–3279.

Nobre, C. A., et al. (2020). Amazon resilience. Science Advances, 6(45), eaba2949. OECD. (2021). AI, innovation and climate policy. OECD Publishing.

Ostrom, E. (2009). A general framework for analyzing sustainability. Science, 325(5939), 419–422. Peng, Y., et al. (2020). Artificial intelligence for urban flood resilience. Water, 12(9), 2565.

Qin, Y., Liu, Y., Grosvenor, R., & Gao, L. (2020). Smart manufacturing and sustainability. Journal of Cleaner Production, 256, 120508.

Reichstein, M., Camps-Valls, G., Stevens, B., et al. (2019). AI for Earth systems. Nature, 566(7743), 195–204. Rockström, J., et al. (2009). Planetary boundaries. Nature, 461, 472–475.

Rolnick, D., Donti, P. L., Kaack, L. H., et al. (2019). Tackling climate change with ML. ACM Computing Surveys, 55(2), 1–96.

Rosa, E. A., et al. (2017). Human drivers of climate change. PNAS, 114(30), 7860–7868. Sachs, J. D. (2015). The age of sustainable development. Columbia University Press.

Sailer, M., Schultz-Pernice, F., & Fischer, F. (2021). Digital learning ecosystems. Computers & Education, 166, 104144.

Scherer, R., et al. (2021). Technology readiness. Computers in Human Behavior, 118, 106675. Seto, K. C., et al. (2016). Urbanization and climate change. Science, 352(6288), 939–940.

Shi, L., et al. (2016). Resilience planning for climate adaptation. Journal of Planning Education and Research, 36(2), 145–157.

Shukla, P. R., et al. (2019). Climate mitigation pathways. Energy Policy, 135, 111028. Smith, A., et al. (2020). AI and sustainable cities. Nature Climate Change, 10, 103–110.

Sommer, M., et al. (2017). Environmental health resilience. American Journal of Public Health, 105(7), 1302–1311.

Sun, Y., et al. (2021). Machine learning-based carbon emission prediction. Energy, 214, 118858.

Taddei, R., et al. (2022). Artificial intelligence and sustainable governance. Government Information Quarterly, 39(4), 101723.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Systematic review methodology. British Journal of Management, 14(3), 207–222.

United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda.

van der Leeuw, S. (2018). Social-ecological systems. PNAS, 115(51), 12909–12915.

van Vuuren, D. P., et al. (2018). Alternative pathways to the 1.5°C target. Nature Climate Change, 8, 391–397. Vinuesa, R., Azizpour, H., Leite, I., et al. (2020). AI and SDGs. Nature Communications, 11, 233.

Wang, S., Li, D., Zhang, Y., & Chen, J. (2020). Smart environmental monitoring. Environmental Modelling & Software, 134, 104856.

Xu, Y., & Ramanathan, V. (2017). Well below 2°C: Mitigation strategies. Science, 355(6330), 124–125. Zawacki-Richter, O., et al. (2019). AI in higher education. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(39).

Zeng, Y., Lu, E., & Huang, Z. (2021). Linking artificial intelligence and climate governance: Opportunities and institutional challenges. Environmental Science & Policy, 122, 35–44.

Zhang, D., & Zhou, L. (2020). Artificial intelligence-enabled climate adaptation strategies. Climate Policy, 20(7), 832–846.

Zhou, Y., Wu, Y., & Li, X. (2021). AI-based climate risk assessment for sustainable infrastructure planning. Sustainable Cities and Society, 72, 103020.