INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT SOCIOLOGY & HUMANITIES

ISSN 2277 – 9809 (online)
ISSN 2348 - 9359 (Print)

An Internationally Indexed Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal

www.IRJMSH.com
www.isarasolutions.com

Published by iSaRa Solutions

India's Urban Land Use Policy Evolution: From Master Plans to Smart Planning

Dr Sunita Sonwani
Assistant Professor &Head
Department of Geography
Shri Rawatpura Sarkar University,
Raipur Chhattisgarh
Email:- sonwanidrsunita@gmail.com

Dr Soumen Brahma
Principal
Saraswati Mahavidyalaya
Koni , Bilaspur

Abstract:-
From the data, we find that urban land use planning in India has gradually moved from too much rigid top-down master planning approaches to more flexible technology-enabled smart planning approaches. Based on a combination of literature survey, instructions received from government agencies and interviews with various stakeholders this paper aims to study the evolution of urban land use policies throughout India assessing the inefficacy of traditional master plans in an Indian context with examples leading up to the creation of participatory data driven sustainable planning paradigms under initiatives like Smart Cities Mission(2015) as well. This paper examines how contemporary planning practices are influenced by governance reforms, geospatial technologies and integrated urban management. It states that although smart planning provides adaptive and efficient solutions, issues of inclusivity, institutional capacity and implementation remain.

Keywords: Urban Planning, Land Use Policy, Master Plan, Smart Cities, Sustainable Development, India

Introduction:-

The paper traces the changing configurations of urban land use policies in India from largely static and blueprint-driven Master Plans that emerged from postcolonial-era thinking to dynamic, often technology-based approaches adopted through so-called smart planning initiatives. This examination will identify the internal constraints and critiques targeted at such typologies of "master planning," specifically their somewhat archaic approaches, as well as absent agendas for financial mechanisms to create value (Heathcote 2022) (Joy 2015:172). It will thus explore also how, most constructions of the "smart planning" paradigms and principles have emerged from Western conceptualizations (El-Agamy et al., 2024; Prasad & Alizadeh, 2020), yet these logics are translated into Indian cities which in their socio-economic and cultural contexts exhibit very different complexities towards urban informality and demands for inclusionary development (Dhingra et al., 2016). The conversation will also touch on the institutional frameworks that shape urban development, and their effectiveness in creating co-benefits given India's unique set of urban-environmental challenges (Doll et al., 2013) In particular, the research will explore various

elements of policy design including goals and instruments as applied in urban smart-cities case studies in India alongside international experiences such as Singapore's Smart Nation program to find adapted policies packages (Sha & Taeihagh, 2024) Furthermore, the study will explore how these new policy shifts respond to larger international trends in urban development while tackling more immediate issues of rapid urbanization and environmental resilience uniquely specific to India (Li, 2011, p. 3518). An adequate urbanization policy is needed due to a major state role during India's economic developmental progress, which can help in addressing the home-grown and spatial externalities of macroeconomic policies enacted by the Indian central government, while also ensuring efficacy from both an intra city administration perspective as well as inter-region integrations (Joy, 2015, p. 166). This shift in policy reflects a broader trend of integrating new technological advancements such as artificial intelligence (AI), internet of things (IoT), and big data analytics into cities to enhance quality of life and make cities smarter by solving complex urban challenges (Sha & Taeihagh, 2024).

Background to Urbanization in India

This is especially relevant for India, given the impact of rapid urbanization and the associated challenge of effective sustainable management of land (Zhuo et al., 2025). Thus, the rise of smart city projects marks a critical turn that converges digital infrastructure and data centric management to enhance city service, managing urban systems and resources (Bibri & Krogstie, 2017, p. 216). Yet, various challenges in implementing such initiatives persist across the citadels of developing nation states like India where financial, technical and economic limitations pose impediments to successfully executing smart city projects (Sharma et al., 2020, p. 122051). Indian government, however, has encouraged the development of smart cities through several policies, including but not limited to The Smart Cities Mission which is a Program for 100 Smart Cities with a significant budget (Singh et al., 2024) This mission seeks to leverage technological advances underpinning the urbanization process and deliver ecosystem-level solution changes conducive to achieving Sustainable Development Goals with a greener urbanization process (Appio et al., 2018; Chishti & Sinha, 2021, p. 101849). These initiatives highlight the need for developing sustainable smart city frameworks for India, with due consideration to various enablers including energy, infrastructure, policy and technology (Yadav et al., 2019). These smart city frameworks are further strengthened through integrations of artificial intelligence and IoT to make data-driven developments in urban planning and management, mainly concerning resources such as water supply, energy, waste etc. (Herath & Mittal 2022[.(p. 100076].

Importance of Urban Land Use Policy

The synergistic integration of AI and IoT technologies, including digital twins, provides a robust framework for promoting sustainable urban planning, enabling urban system orchestration integration among different sectors/urban systems (Bibri et al., 2024). Data-driven technologies offer computational capabilities for advanced analytics and predictive analytics that facilitate the shift towards more sustainable and efficient cities (Bibri et al., 2024; Yan et al., 2023, p. 11). Integrated systems are also called Urban Brain and AIoT-driven Urban Digital Twin that will

secure the new generation framework to create what is called a (Dajani et al., 2023) "Datafication" for data-based management of everything surrounding you, as this system enables cities to predict future problems and develop most suitable policies in all their sectors of sustainable development (Bibri & Huang, 2025). Notably, the intelligence embedded in these systems plays a role in resource allocation optimization, urban spread forecasting, and simulation of different development scenarios to reduce ecological impact and improve overall urban resilience (Anwar & Sakti, 2024, p. 187; Bibri & Huang 2025). The development of more advanced urban growth models, by allowing planners to make informed decisions about infrastructure and environment protection (Anwar & Sakti, 2024, p. 180). According to Sharma et al. (2020), frameworks like these are imperative for the nations which are still developing and wants to achieve sustainable cities by utilizing ICT and internet technologies in erecting urban domain of city. This includes using pervasive computing that enables ubiquitous computing, ambient intelligence and sensor computing to create context-aware applications for solving complex urban problems (Bibri & Krogstie, 2017, p. 470). The merged potential of these technologies plays a vital role in addressing the challenges posed by rapid rate of urbanization and scarcity of resources in Indian cities through real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and adaptive governance (Umoh et al., 2024, p. 145; Yan et al., 2023, p. 11).

Research Questions and Objectives

In this context, the current research aims to aid in addressing some important questions pertaining to the evolution and implications of urban land use policies in India, particularly within the domain of smart planning paradigms. It seeks in particular to assess whether existing smart-city policies are effective at fostering sustainable and inclusive urban growth, as well as their ability to incorporate a broad range of socioeconomic factors into land-use-planning approaches. This exploration will not only determine the prevalence of state-of-the-art artificial intelligence and machine learning methods for predictive modeling and real-time management in these policies, to guarantee effective allocation of assets and greater adaptive capacity in cities (Cho & Ackom, 2025) but also challenge whether or not comprehensive disaster management reconstruction plans have been set in place. Moreover, the study will explore how these technological incorporations will affect urban environments' dimensions of "livability" and "resilience," examining not just its adoption but also how it translates into people's quality of lives in world cities and an enhanced capacity for future shock absorption (Kutty et al., 2022, p. 134204). Its aim is to interrogate urban resilience and livability as theoretical constructs, specifically the disparity between their conceptualisation in the global smart city literature and how they play out empirically within the Indian policy context (Kutty et al., 2022, p. 134206). This will be a critical investigation of the ways that, as a foundational element, telecommunications infrastructure shapes smart city development through enhanced connectivity and public service provision, and how it adapts to address India’s unique urban challenges (Folorunsho et al., 2024, p. 2497). Additionally, this research aims to delineate the institutional and regulatory reforms required for an effective implementation and assimilation of such advanced technologies within pre-existing urban

governance frameworks, thus cultivating a more flexible and responsive urban planning ecosystem.

Conceptual Framework: Urban Planning and Land Use

Urban planning and land use policies are foundational to the built, social, and economic environment of cities; they strongly shape urban growth paths and the conditions under which people live. This policy progression mirrors a broader global trend towards smart urbanism, within which technological innovations—particularly in information and communication technologies (ICT)—are progressively being used to improve urban operability along with optimising resource use (Yuan et al., 2025). Artificial intelligence and machine learning represent a significant shift from manual to data-driven decision-making in urban planning, allowing for predictive analytics that can inform more effective management of urban systems (Lartey & Law, 2025). However, for such integration to be successful, it must take into account the heterogeneous nature of urban environments as well as the potential for technologies to deepen preexisting inequalities or entrench new socio-spatial divides (Bibri, 2019, p. 22; Jiang et al., 2025). This underscores the need for a critical assessment of institutional frameworks and governance structures to ensure that smart city programs promote inclusive development and meet the diverse needs of urban residents (Pansera & Owen, 2017, p. 51; Sha et al., 2024). This analysis will further also explore the complex interlinkages between urban planning and agrifood systems, recognizing that urban land use policies have wide-ranging consequences on rural areas through spillovers across administrative boundaries (“In Brief” to The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2023", 2023, p. 10). Such a holistic viewpoint is essential for understanding how urban growth and land use changes affect environmental sustainability and ecosystem services, especially in rapidly urbanising areas (Biu et al., 2024, p. 486). Moreover, the approach recognizes that sound land-use policies affect urban and suburban development patterns with important consequences for natural resource conservation; they can reduce urban sprawl (Kline et al., 2014, p. 52). By incorporating urban agriculture and green infrastructure, a well-organized land use policy can be a platform to promote resilience and food security for communities as proactive measures towards developing sustainable cities (Biu et al., 2024, p. 486; “In Brief to The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2023", 2023, p. 40). The European Union seeks to create and develop green infrastructure or nature-based solutions (NBS) as part of this approach in order to mitigate, through urban forms of inhumane living, the mental suffering induced by modernist architecture (Bahr, 2024, p. 105174). Such a topical focus on ecological conditions in the urban realm represents a movement away from previously polluted or neglected metabolic practices, and signals an insight that sustainability issues related to environmental quality are also interlinked with well-being indicators for individuals/communities (Bibri & Krogstie, 2017). More in-depth exploration of the concurrence of elements such as "green infrastructure" and "environmental sustainability" in urban planning literature indicates an increasing academic alignment on their synergistic significance to counteract urban dilemmas such as microplastic pollution within stormwater (Ahmad et al, 2025,p.2)

Theories of Urban Development and Land Use

The concept of urban land use can be subject to diverse theoretical perspectives, from pure economic models and the role of markets (Bertaud & Malpezzi, 2003) through socio technical perspectives that include cultural factors as well as socio-political drivers used to address inequality or environmental sustainability. These new expanded frameworks often include assessments of how land use policies might incorporate solutions addressing regional resilience to climate change, biodiversity, and ecosystem services—developing beyond previous urban growth models (Thorne et al., 2016, p.490). This trajectory emphasizes a conceptual transition from seeing urban land use as essentially local, to accepting that faraway places can strongly influence what goes on in cities and towns, and the sustainability of their patterns of change (Seto et al., 2012). An example from one of its most relevant sections: "even fragmentation of undeveloped land due to low-density exurban development in outer metropolitan corridors can have serious consequences for ecosystem health, illustrating the importance of land use decision-making at wider scales than local" (Turner et al., 2007, p. 20607). The recognition of the importance of including green infrastructure as integrated in to urban planning, increasingly seen around the world as a strategic tool for sustainable development and urban resilience with environmental, social, and economic benefits (Adesina et al., 2024). In particular, various green infrastructure components, including urban greenspace, improve human health and well-being (giggel et al., 2023) while inevitably easing environmental problems like better quality in surrounding areas through different activities (e.g. gaseous exchange), smoothen water from elevation stormwater flood downwards within balance structures after heavy rain etc. (Zhang & Qian; 2024). Not only do these strategies decrease flow contributing to flooding, they also help improve water quality while helping maintain ecosystem function and services in social-ecological systems helping overall urban resilience (Fu et al., 2020; Ramos et al., 2020, p. 120777).

Policy Instruments in Urban Governance

The intentional integration of green infrastructure and nature-based solutions within urban planning systems poses as a pivotal for legislative delivery to enhance both urban sustainability as well as ecosystem services (Dong et al., 2024; Fang et al., 2023). This broad approach encompasses a variety of green infrastructure definitions and policy recommendations, noting that there's an emphasis on stormwater runoff management in the USA or climate change adaptation in Canada,Zabel & Häusler (2023, p. 104929). The complexity of this integration frequently represents and involves trade-offs between diverse functions, multiple purpose functional optimization as well as varying temporal and spatial scales (e.g., Asadzadeh et al., 2023), that tend to create or worsen existing governance and planning path dependencies. Moreover, the successful implementation of such solutions often requires mainstreaming beyond existing quantitative planning norms towards qualitative evaluations focusing on ecosystem health and service delivery (Ronchi et al., 2019).

Evolution of Planning Paradigms

Such evolution mirrors the wider shift in urban planning towards more adaptive and integrated paradigms that recognize the intricate interdependencies among urban development,

environmental sustainability, and human well-being globally (Liu & Russo, 2021, p. 102773). This change is notably reflected in the increasing focus on green infrastructure and nature-based solutions that provide multifunctional approaches to actually strengthen urban resilience as well as with sustainability through integrating ecological processes with urban design (Fu et al., 2020, p. 101625; Dorst et al., 2019). Urban parks, green roofs, and permeable surfaces are among the interventions increasingly known for their ability to deliver diverse ecosystem services that contribute to residents' well-being [Yao et al., 2025] and enable sustainable urban management. As an Aesthetic Pair: The Role of Nature-Based Solutions to Mitigate Urbanization and Climate Change at Once One can add that well-planned nature-based solutions mitigate not just the effects of urbanisation but also the impacts of climate change, addressing multiple urban issues by providing ecosystem services that enhance a city residents' quality of life (McPhearson et al., 2022). Encompassing a wider variety of interventions, this performance-based planning approach may also reconcile inconsistent bodies of knowledge on urban greening to provably enable more explicit discourse on the role nature plays in responding to various sustainability challenges (Dorst et al., 2019, p. 101620). This shift emphasizes the vital importance of local context-based ecological knowledge and the necessity for enhanced technical comprehension in devising and implementing nature-based solutions, guaranteeing that they are effectively executed and fairly allocated (McPhearson et al., 2025). It requires to take a systemic and dynamic view on the diversity, complementarity and renewal of species in terms of their capacity to ensure the sustainability and adaptability of these services (Duffaut et al., 2022, p. 158553).

The Era of Master Plans in India

Since the middle of the 20th century, Master Plans became a central instrument in resisting urban development and growth, however over time that has changed due to the way in which land use policy in India is defined. These plans were statutory, long-term and often provided planning regulations that identified land-use zones, delineated development standards and outlined the infrastructure projects needed to facilitate rapid urban growth (Botequilha-Leitão & Varela, 2020). Nonetheless, such Master Plans have been widely criticized for their inflexible execution, lack of public engagement and static response to the fast-moving realities and unpredictable challenges of urban accretion, often resulting in a drift between planned instructions and actual courses of urban expansion. Also, these planning efforts focused on achieving comprehensive discipline of the urban space at the city scale and often did not include natural systems integration, missing opportunities to enhance or leverage ecosystem services for sustainable urbanization (p. 4; p. 175800). Often, urbanization was indeed part of a greater strategy towards reducing environmental degradation and climate vulnerability, but it proved insufficient without further impetus from leaders engaging with this problem (Dorst et al., 2019, p. 101620; Duffaut et al., 2022, p. 158537). Thus, the limited focus on considering ecosystem-based approaches in these historical planning paradigms frequently resulted in less than optimal results for urban resilience and equitable distribution of green amenities (McPhearson et al., 2022; Seddon et al., 2020). The legacy of static, autodic models of planning overly embedded in static constructs in space and time further underlines the urgent need to develop urban policy frameworks that embrace ecological elements

through more fluid spatial and temporal processesPictureImage3 (Bai, 2018, p. 7). Acknowledging of these limitations, a global synthesis of urban nature-based solutions shows that effective implementation necessitates local ecological contextual knowledge and should not be approached as merely the application of generic approaches (McPhearson et al., 2025).

Transition Towards Modern Urban Planning

The contextualization of urban design — as opposed to the creation of immutable frameworks — reflects a growing awareness that more prescriptive, top-down master planning models have inherent limitations and an inability to account for mutable urban complexities and environmental priorities. They argue that the continuing evolution of urban development approaches necessitates integrated frameworks, reflective of emerging knowledge and understandings around performance (based) planning and nature-based solutions for providing ecological services beyond prescriptive zoning in response to a multi-functional urban environment. New urban space requires increased insights on the ecosystem services involved in urban operations, whether synergies or trade-offs are at play when scarce space is being allocated to multiple ecological processes like heat moderation, carbon sequestration, and naturalistic recreational areas (Segura et al., 2024, p. 175809). Furthermore, a shift away from anthropocentric urban planning lenses is taking shape that calls for a more holistic perspective in human and non-human coexistence through urban governance and design (Bonthoux & Chollet, 2024, p. 1488). This broader view is a challenge to institutional entities requiring new integration mechanisms for nature conservation goals into urban planning processes, often involving cross-department collaborations and innovative conservation concepts (Kabisch & Egerer, 2025). However, such integration is often hampered by the state of development and robustness (or lack thereof) of assessment methods for ecosystem services; in particular, with respect to providing interdisciplinary approaches that consider trade-offs among multiple environmental and social issues (Bai et al., 2018, p. 2).

Master Plans vs. Smart Planning: Key Differences

Traditional master plans were based on static blueprints and frequently lacked mechanisms for real-time feedback, whereas smart planning draws on continuously updated big data and smart technologies to establish dynamic adaptation and citizen engagement in urban development (Horgan & Dimitrijević, 2019, p. 101558). This enables an agile and dynamic model of urban governance, whereby strategies can be continually adapted in light of observed impact and new challenges (Appio et al., 2018, p. 17). Urban departments and administrators can use this integration of data and digital platforms to monitor, understand, and respond quickly to relevant urban factors (e.g., mobility, accessibility, public safety based on Bibri & Krogstie 2017 p. 213). Additionally, smart planning systems employ artificial intelligence and machine learning to provide advanced analytics for predictive modeling and scenario analysis of urban sustainability challenges to allocate infrastructure accordingly (Herath & Mittal, 2022, p. 100081). In particular, AI can improve environmental monitoring and management through the prediction of pollution levels and identification of at-risk areas that inform proactive environmental management measures aligned to urban development objectives (Anwar & Sakti, 2024, p. 187). The strategic amalgamation of AI and digital twin technologies is revolutionizing data-driven planning

methodologies in advanced environmental impact assessments while also encouraging integrated urban systems (Anwar & Sakti, 2024, p. 190; Bibri et al., 2024). This approach allows planners to optimize the collation and arrangement of data that lead to informed decisions for sustainable outcomes in urban settings (Bibri et al., 2024). Furthermore, the utilization of advanced computational technologies and new models, such as digital twins, is radically altering data-driven urban planning through offering a holistic environmental dataset and synergistic data-driven solutions (Bibri et al., 2024). Digital twin technologies facilitate the simulation and visualization of complex urban systems, enabling urban planners to evaluate potential intervention impacts prior to deployment while also optimizing resource allocation (El-Agamy et al., 2024, p. 15).

Challenges and Opportunities in Indian Urban Land Use Policy

Here, we critically explore the entrenched difficulties and new opportunities facing urban land use governance in India as it struggles with the challenge of rapid urbanization and environmental needs. Mainstreaming nature-based solutions (NbS) as foundational elements of urban planning structures presents a window of opportunity to address the extent to which urban resilience and sustainability can be improved across varied contexts (McPhearson et al., 2025; Thorn et al., 2021). However, the implementation of such solutions should be in line with local ecological characteristics and expertise around biodiversity hotspots to avoid potentially damaging unintended consequences—the planning approach of many boomtowns has already resulted in environmental damage (Bartlett et al., 2017, p. 259). A thorough knowledge of human action theory is required here, as the effects of urban land use planning are fundamentally structured by incentives from diverse stakeholders and their behaviors throughout regions (Gyau et al., 2014). In addition, a distinct feature of modern urban planning is the need to monitor and assess the performance of policies using indicators that can provide information on achievements in relation to compactness and greenness of the city (Artmann et al., 2017, p. 18). This also needs to happen on regional, neighborhood, and household scales as well (Artmann et al., 2018) and is central in order to ensure the existing policies lead to positive outcomes or feed back into urban planners and policy-makers with responsibility across these sectors. The iterative process of monitoring and evaluation, underpinned by adaptive management approaches, is necessary if we are to convert daydream planning aspirations into actual land-use outcomes, closing the gap between policy direction and action (Hersperger et al., 2018). In addition, it demands the deployment of sophisticated intelligence functions for counting cities and possibly through innovation labs to detect urban dynamics in real-time and produce improved spatial organizations for sustainability, efficiency, resilience, equity and quality of life (Bibri et al., 2020).

Conclusion

This extensive review and synthesis highlight the need to shift from traditional master plans towards a dynamic smart planning paradigm that is integrated, adaptive and participatory in devising urban land use policy solutions within India. Such a transition requires careful consideration of how compact urban growth, while being beneficial in terms of low carbon emission and social development, also may lead to higher natural disasters and loss of carbon sinks

through green landscape increase (Wang et al., 2025). The complex relationship of urban form and transport energy demand additionally highlights the potential for land use policies designed to increase urban density as part of strategies to decrease per capita vehicle travel and therefore reduce greenhouse gas emissions (Li, 2011, p. 3507). And policy frameworks must align land use and transportation planning in ways that support urban mobility and maintain environmental quality. This means developing an enabling institutional environment for co-benefits, coupling urban environmental improvement with development objectives (Doll et al., 2013). Further, successful land use policy evaluation requires a complementary mix of reduced-form quantitative studies for rigorous and comparable impact estimates and process-based qualitative analyses to identify the underlying causal pathways and mechanisms (Lambin et al., 2014, p. 133). Such a dual approach allows for a holistic understanding of policy impacts, with implications for future adjustments and to ensure alignment with goals regarding sustainable urban development, such as the international Sustainable Development Goals (Wang et al., 2023). Developing high spatiotemporal resolution big data systems, multi-scenario theoretical frameworks, novel multi-scale multi-level spatial dynamic models, and further AI and machine learning integration to increasingly couple these models remains key for a more comprehensive understanding/evolution of the assumptions in model predictions of complex land use/cover change processes in the future (Chen et al., 2024). Developing these capabilities is essential in connecting explorations of small-scale processes with representations of large-scale land use/land cover patterns, thus enhancing the empirical underpinnings of urban planning models and facilitating more evidence-based decision-making (Ren et al., 2019). They are developing methodologies for efficient land use subdivision, robust and interpretable regression modeling, and high-performance simulation models to push forward existing methodological goals in the field (Tang et al. 2023). This integrated approach is particularly needed to tackle the important challenges mentioned, such as a few urban form and building energy use indicators, and uncertainties of predictive models (Quan & Li, 2021). Thus, future policy intervention should include strict climate-sensitive urban design principles to foster the effective integration of residential, commercial, and recreational areas while facilitating industrial upgrading towards high-tech and service sectors (Wang et al., 2025).

Reference

Adelani, F. A., Okafor, E. S., Jacks, B. S., & Ajala, O. A. (2024). EXPLORING THEORETICAL CONSTRUCTS OF URBAN RESILIENCE THROUGH SMART WATER GRIDS: CASE STUDIES IN AFRICAN AND U.S. CITIES. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(3), 984. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i3.952

Adesina, A. A., Okwandu, A. C., & Nwokediegwu, Z. Q. S. (2024). Towards sustainable urban development: Conceptualizing green infrastructure and its impact on urban planning. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(7), 1274. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i7.1266

Agboola, O. P., & Tunay, M. (2023). Urban resilience in the digital age: The influence of Information-Communication Technology for sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *428*, 139304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.139304

Ahmad, T., Gul, S., Peng, L., Mehmood, T., Huang, Q., Ahmad, A., Ali, H., Ali, W., Souissi, S., & Zinck, P. (2025). Microplastic mitigation in urban stormwater using green infrastructure: a review [Review of *Microplastic mitigation in urban stormwater using green infrastructure: a review*]. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*. Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-025-01833-8

Anwar, M. R., & Sakti, L. D. (2024). Integrating Artificial Intelligence and Environmental Science for Sustainable Urban Planning. *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, *5*(2), 179. https://doi.org/10.34306/itsdi.v5i2.666

Appio, F. P., Lima, M., & Paroutis, S. (2018). Understanding Smart Cities: Innovation ecosystems, technological advancements, and societal challenges. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *142*, 1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.12.018

Artmann, M., Inostroza, L., & Fan, P. (2018). Urban sprawl, compact urban development and green cities. How much do we know, how much do we agree? *Ecological Indicators*, *96*, 3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.10.059

Artmann, M., Kohler, M., Meinel, G., Gan, J., & Iojă, C. (2017). How smart growth and green infrastructure can mutually support each other — A conceptual framework for compact and green cities. *Ecological Indicators*, *96*, 10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.07.001

Asadzadeh, A., Fekete, A., Khazai, B., Moghadas, M., Zebardast, E., Basirat, M., & Kötter, T. (2023). Capacitating urban governance and planning systems to drive transformative resilience. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *96*, 104637. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2023.104637

Bahr, S. (2024). The relationship between urban greenery, mixed land use and life satisfaction: An examination using remote sensing data and deep learning. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *251*, 105174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2024.105174

Bai, X. (2018). Advance the ecosystem approach in cities. *Nature*, *559*(7712), 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-05607-x

Bai, Y., Wong, C. P., Jiang, B., Hughes, A. C., Min, W., & Wang, Q. (2018). Developing China's Ecological Redline Policy using ecosystem services assessments for land use planning. *Nature Communications*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05306-1

Bartlett, D., Martín, E. G., Milliken, S., & Parmer, D. (2017). Introducing landscape character assessment and the ecosystem service approach to India: A case study. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *167*, 257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2017.06.013

Bibri, S. E. (2019). On the sustainability of smart and smarter cities in the era of big data: an interdisciplinary and transdisciplinary literature review. *Journal Of Big Data*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-019-0182-7

Bibri, S. E., & Huang, J. (2025). Artificial intelligence of things for sustainable smart city brain and digital twin systems: Pioneering Environmental synergies between real-time management and predictive planning. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*, *26*, 100591. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ese.2025.100591

Bibri, S. E., Huang, J., Jagatheesaperumal, S. K., & Krogstie, J. (2024). The synergistic interplay of artificial intelligence and digital twin in environmentally planning sustainable smart cities: A comprehensive systematic review. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*, *20*, 100433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ese.2024.100433

Bibri, S. E., & Krogstie, J. (2017a). Smart sustainable cities of the future: An extensive interdisciplinary literature review. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *31*, 183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2017.02.016

Bibri, S. E., & Krogstie, J. (2017b). ICT of the new wave of computing for sustainable urban forms: Their big data and context-aware augmented typologies and design concepts. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *32*, 449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2017.04.012

Bibri, S. E., Krogstie, J., & Kärrholm, M. (2020). Compact city planning and development: Emerging practices and strategies for achieving the goals of sustainability. *Developments in the Built Environment*, *4*, 100021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dibe.2020.100021

Biu, P. W., Oliha, J. S., & Chimezie, O. (2024). THE EVOLVING ROLE OF GEOSPATIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ENHANCING URBAN SECURITY: A REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS AND OUTCOMES [Review of *THE EVOLVING ROLE OF GEOSPATIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ENHANCING URBAN SECURITY: A REVIEW OF APPLICATIONS AND OUTCOMES*]. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(2), 483. Fair East Publishers. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i2.826

Bonthoux, S., & Chollet, S. (2024). Wilding cities for biodiversity and people: a transdisciplinary framework. *Biological Reviews/Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, *99*(4), 1458. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.13076

Botequilha-Leitão, A., & Varela, E. R. D. (2020). Performance Based Planning of complex urban social-ecological systems: The quest for sustainability through the promotion of resilience. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *56*, 102089. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2020.102089

Chen, M., Samat, N., Tilaki, M. J. M., & Duan, L. (2024). Land use/cover change simulation research: A system literature review based on bibliometric analyses. *Ecological Indicators*, *170*, 112991. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2024.112991

Chishti, M. Z., & Sinha, A. (2021). Do the shocks in technological and financial innovation influence the environmental quality? Evidence from BRICS economies. *Technology in Society*, *68*, 101828. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101828

Cho, H., & Ackom, E. (2025). Artificial Intelligence (AI)-driven approach to climate action and sustainable development. *Nature Communications*, *16*(1), 1228. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-53956-1

Cortinovis, C., Geneletti, D., & Hedlund, K. (2021). Synthesizing multiple ecosystem service assessments for urban planning: A review of approaches, and recommendations [Review of *Synthesizing multiple ecosystem service assessments for urban planning: A review of*

approaches, and recommendations]. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *213*, 104129. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2021.104129

Dhingra, M., Singh, M. K., & Chattopadhyay, S. (2016). Rapid Assessment Tool for traditional Indian Neighbourhoods: a Case Study of Alwar Walled City in Rajasthan. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *26*, 364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2016.06.015

Doll, C. N. H., Dreyfus, M., Ahmad, S., & Balaban, O. (2013). Institutional framework for urban development with co-benefits: the Indian experience. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *58*, 121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.07.029

Dong, X., Yang, R., Ye, Y., Yi, S., Haase, D., & Lausch, A. (2024). Planning for green infrastructure by integrating multi-driver: Ranking priority based on accessibility equity. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *114*, 105767. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2024.105767

Dorst, H., Jagt, A. van der, Raven, R., & Runhaar, H. (2019). Urban greening through nature-based solutions – Key characteristics of an emerging concept. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *49*, 101620. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101620

Duffaut, C., Versini, P., & Frascaria-Lacoste, N. (2022). Are really Nature-Based Solutions sustainable solutions to design future cities in a context of global change? Discussion about the vulnerability of these new solutions and their probable unsustainable implementation. *The Science of The Total Environment*, *853*, 158535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158535

El-Agamy, R. F., Sayed, H. A., Akhatatneh, A. M. A., Aljohani, M., & Elhosseini, M. A. (2024). Comprehensive analysis of digital twins in smart cities: a 4200-paper bibliometric study. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, *57*(6). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10781-8

Fang, X., Li, J., & Ma, Q. (2023). Integrating green infrastructure, ecosystem services and nature-based solutions for urban sustainability: A comprehensive literature review. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *98*, 104843. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2023.104843

Folorunsho, S. O., Adenekan, O. A., Ezeigweneme, C., Somadina, I. C., & Okeleke, P. A. (2024). Developing smart cities with telecommunications: Building connected and sustainable urban environments. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(8), 2492. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i8.1441

Fu, X., Hopton, M. E., & Wang, X. (2020). Assessment of green infrastructure performance through an urban resilience lens. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *289*, 125146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125146

Ghasemzadeh, B., Aghdam, S. R., Hartley, K., Morad, B. B., & Rahnamayiezekavat, P. (2025). Social challenges in smart cities: a scoping review and framework for policy action. *Technology in Society*, *84*, 103130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2025.103130

Gyau, K., Hammond, F., Booth, C. A., & Lamond, J. (2014). Evolution and development of urban land use planning: analysis from human action theory perspective. *Theoretical and Empirical Researches in Urban Management*, *9*(2), 35. http://um.ase.ro/no92/2.pdf

Hauck, J., Stein, C., Schiffer, E., & Vandewalle, M. (2015). Seeing the forest and the trees: Facilitating participatory network planning in environmental governance. *Global Environmental Change*, *35*, 400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.09.022

Herath, H. M. K. K. M. B., & Mittal, M. (2022). Adoption of artificial intelligence in smart cities: A comprehensive review [Review of *Adoption of artificial intelligence in smart cities: A comprehensive review*]. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, *2*(1), 100076. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjimei.2022.100076

Hersperger, A. M., Oliveira, E., Pagliarin, S., Palka, G., Verburg, P. H., Bolliger, J., & Grădinaru, S. R. (2018). Urban land-use change: The role of strategic spatial planning. *Global Environmental Change*, *51*, 32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2018.05.001

Horgan, D., & Dimitrijević, B. (2019). Frameworks for citizens participation in planning: From conversational to smart tools. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *48*, 101550. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101550

In Brief to The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2023. (2023). In *FAO; IFAD; UNICEF; WFP; WHO; eBooks*. https://doi.org/10.4060/cc6550en

Jiang, L., Ye, X., & Zhang, K. (2025). Enhancing sustainable development in Chinese cities: The impact of artificial intelligence technology and spatio-temporal evolution analysis. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *131*, 106747. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2025.106747

Joy, K. (2015). Retrospect of post-colonial metropolitan planning in India: Critical appraisal. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning*, *8*(6), 166. https://doi.org/10.5897/jgrp2015.0494

Kabisch, N., & Egerer, M. (2025). Resetting the clock by integrating urban nature and its biodiversity into the 15-minute city concept. *Nature Communications*, *16*(1), 9281. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-65170-8

Kaiser, Z. R. M. A. (2024). Smart governance for smart cities and nations. *Journal of Economy and Technology*, *2*, 216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ject.2024.07.003

Kline, J. D., Thiers, P., Ozawa, C. P., Yeakley, J. A., & Gordon, S. N. (2014). How well has land-use planning worked under different governance regimes? A case study in the Portland, OR-Vancouver, WA metropolitan area, USA. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *131*, 51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.07.013

Kutty, A. A., Wakjira, T. G., Küçükvar, M., Abdella, G. M., & Onat, N. C. (2022). Urban resilience and livability performance of European smart cities: A novel machine learning approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *378*, 134203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134203

Lambin, É. F., Meyfroidt, P., Rueda, X., Blackman, A., Börner, J., Cerutti, P., Dietsch, T. V., Jungmann, L., Lamarque, P., Lister, J., Walker, N. F., & Wunder, S. (2014). Effectiveness and synergies of policy instruments for land use governance in tropical regions. *Global Environmental Change*, *28*, 129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.06.007

Lartey, D., & Law, K. M. Y. (2025). Artificial intelligence adoption in urban planning governance: A systematic review of advancements in decision-making, and policy making [Review of *Artificial intelligence adoption in urban planning governance: A systematic review of advancements in decision-making, and policy making*]. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *258*, 105337. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2025.105337

Li, J. (2011). Decoupling urban transport from GHG emissions in Indian cities—A critical review and perspectives. *Energy Policy*, *39*(6), 3503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.03.049

Liu, O. Y., & Russo, A. (2021). Assessing the contribution of urban green spaces in green infrastructure strategy planning for urban ecosystem conditions and services. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *68*, 102772. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2021.102772

McPhearson, T., Cook, E. M., Berbés-Blázquez, M., Cheng, C., Grimm, N. B., Andersson, E., Barbosa, O., Chandler, D. G., Chang, H., Chester, M., Childers, D. L., Elser, S. R., Frantzeskaki, N., Grabowski, Z. R., Groffman, P. M., Hale, R. L., Iwaniec, D. M., Kabisch, N., Kennedy, C., … Troxler, T. G. (2022). A social-ecological-technological systems framework for urban ecosystem services. *One Earth*, *5*(5), 505. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2022.04.007

McPhearson, T., Frantzeskaki, N., Ossola, A., Diep, L., Anderson, P., Blatch, T., Collier, M., Cook, E. M., Fatti, C. C., Grabowski, Z. R., Grimm, N. B., Haase, D., Herreros-Cantis, P., Kavonic, J., Lin, B. B., Meneses, D. H. L., Matsler, A. M., Moglia, M., Morató, J., … Zhou, W. (2025). Global synthesis and regional insights for mainstreaming urban nature-based solutions [Review of *Global synthesis and regional insights for mainstreaming urban nature-based solutions*]. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *122*(29). National Academy of Sciences. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2315910121

Pacetti, A. M., Ramos, T. B., Pires, S. M., & Polido, A. (2025). Integrating monitoring systems: Exploring the link between urban planning and environmental assessment. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *117*, 108195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2025.108195

Pansera, M., & Owen, R. (2017). Framing inclusive innovation within the discourse of development: Insights from case studies in India. *Research Policy*, *47*(1), 23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2017.09.007

Prasad, D., & Alizadeh, T. (2020). What Makes Indian Cities Smart? A Policy Analysis of Smart Cities Mission. *Telematics and Informatics*, *55*, 101466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2020.101466

Quan, S. J., & Li, C. (2021). Urban form and building energy use: A systematic review of measures, mechanisms, and methodologies [Review of *Urban form and building energy use: A systematic review of measures, mechanisms, and methodologies*]. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *139*, 110662. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110662

Ramos, T. B., Caeiro, S., Disterheft, A., Mascarenhas, A., Deutz, P., Spangenberg, J. H., Montaño, M., Olayide, O. E., & Sohal, A. S. (2020). Rethinking sustainability: Questioning old

perspectives and developing new ones. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *258*, 120769. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120769

Ren, Y., Lü, Y., Comber, A., Fu, B., Harris, P., & Wu, L. (2019). Spatially explicit simulation of land use/land cover changes: Current coverage and future prospects. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *190*, 398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.01.001

Ronchi, S., Arcidiacono, A., & Pogliani, L. (2019). Integrating green infrastructure into spatial planning regulations to improve the performance of urban ecosystems. Insights from an Italian case study. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *53*, 101907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101907

Seddon, N., Chausson, A., Berry, P., Girardin, C., Smith, A., & Turner, B. (2020). Understanding the value and limits of nature-based solutions to climate change and other global challenges. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences*, *375*(1794), 20190120. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0120

Segura, R., Langemeyer, J., Badía, A., Ventura, S., Vila-Traver, J., & Villalba, G. (2024). The food-water-climate nexus of green infrastructure: Examining ecosystem services trade-offs of peri-urban agriculture. *The Science of The Total Environment*, *951*, 175799. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.175799

Seto, K. C., Reenberg, A., Boone, C. G., Fragkias, M., Haase, D., Langanke, T., Marcotullio, P. J., Munroe, D. K., Olah, B., & Simon, D. (2012). Urban land teleconnections and sustainability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*(20), 7687. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117622109

Sha, K., & Taeihagh, A. (2024). Designing adaptive policy packages for inclusive smart cities: Lessons from Singapore's smart nation program. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *115*, 105868. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2024.105868

Sha, K., Taeihagh, A., & Jong, M. de. (2024). Governing disruptive technologies for inclusive development in cities: A systematic literature review. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *203*, 123382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123382

Sharma, M., Joshi, S. K., Kannan, D., Govindan, K., Singh, R. K., & Purohit, H. (2020). Internet of Things (IoT) adoption barriers of smart cities' waste management: An Indian context. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *270*, 122047. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122047

Singh, M., Singh, M., & Singh, S. K. (2024). Tackling municipal solid waste crisis in India: Insights into cutting-edge technologies and risk assessment. *The Science of The Total Environment*, *917*, 170453. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170453

Tang, Z., Wang, Y., Fu, M., & Xue, J. (2023). The role of land use landscape patterns in the carbon emission reduction: Empirical evidence from China. *Ecological Indicators*, *156*, 111176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.111176

Thorn, J., Aleu, R. B., Wijesinghe, A., Mdongwe, M., Marchant, R., & Shackleton, S. (2021). Mainstreaming nature-based solutions for climate resilient infrastructure in peri-urban sub-

Saharan Africa. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *216*, 104235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2021.104235

Thorne, J. H., Santos, M. J., Bjorkman, J., Soong, O., Ikegami, M., Seo, C., & Hannah, L. (2016). Does infill outperform climate-adaptive growth policies in meeting sustainable urbanization goals? A scenario-based study in California, USA. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *157*, 483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2016.08.013

Tian, L., Li, Y., Bao, H., Zhang, M., & Shen, Z. (2026). Beyond sensing: AI-Driven planning paradigm shifts for sustainable development. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *139*, 107222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2026.107222

Turner, B. L., Lambin, É. F., & Reenberg, A. (2007). The emergence of land change science for global environmental change and sustainability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *104*(52), 20666. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704119104

Umoh, A. A., Nwasike, C. N., Tula, O. A., Adekoya, O. O., & Gidiagba, J. O. (2024). A REVIEW OF SMART GREEN BUILDING TECHNOLOGIES: INVESTIGATING THE INTEGRATION AND IMPACT OF AI AND IOT IN SUSTAINABLE BUILDING DESIGNS [Review of *A REVIEW OF SMART GREEN BUILDING TECHNOLOGIES: INVESTIGATING THE INTEGRATION AND IMPACT OF AI AND IOT IN SUSTAINABLE BUILDING DESIGNS*]. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, *5*(1), 141. Fair East Publishers. https://doi.org/10.51594/csitrj.v5i1.715

Umoh, A. A., Ohenhen, P. E., Chidolue, O., Ngozichukwu, B., Fafure, A. V., & Ibekwe, K. I. (2024). INCORPORATING ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN URBAN PLANNING: A REVIEW OF POLICIES AND BEST PRACTICES [Review of *INCORPORATING ENERGY EFFICIENCY IN URBAN PLANNING: A REVIEW OF POLICIES AND BEST PRACTICES*]. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(1), 83. Fair East Publishers. https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v5i1.729

Wang, B., Yu, P., Wang, J., Wei, Y., Li, Z., Leng, A., He, Q., Li, Z., Liu, Y., & Chen, Y. (2025). Compact infill expansion: A spatially efficient and low-carbon path to sustainable urbanization. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *135*, 106979. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2025.106979

Wang, C., Wang, H., Wu, J., Li, M., Huang, X., Yi, S., & Zhou, C. (2025). A framework for land use conflicts identification and zoning based on "scale-function-pattern": Theory and application. *Ecological Indicators*, *181*, 114370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2025.114370

Wang, Z., Li, L., Zhang, B., Xu, H., Xue, J., Fu, Y., Zeng, Y., & Li, F. (2023). Sustainable urban development based on an adaptive cycle model: A coupled social and ecological land use development model. *Ecological Indicators*, *154*, 110666. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.110666

Yadav, G., Mangla, S. K., Luthra, S., & Dhiraj, P. (2019). Developing a sustainable smart city framework for developing economies: An Indian context. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *47*, 101462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101462

Yan, Z., Jiang, L., Huang, X., Zhang, L., & Zhou, X. (2023). Intelligent urbanism with artificial intelligence in shaping tomorrow's smart cities: current developments, trends, and future directions. *Journal of Cloud Computing Advances Systems and Applications*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13677-023-00569-6

Yao, Y., Zheng, H., Ouyang, Z., Gong, C., Zhang, J., Ying, L., & Wen, Z. (2025). Impact of urban green infrastructure on ecosystem services: A systematic review [Review of *Impact of urban green infrastructure on ecosystem services: A systematic review*]. *Ecological Indicators*, *178*, 113885. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2025.113885

Yuan, Z., Dujuan, Y., & Qi, H. (2025). Technological transformation and digital inclusion: A scoping review of marginalized groups' access to and experience with ICT-driven public services in smart urbanism. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *134*, 106899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2025.106899

Zabel, A., & Häusler, M.-M. (2023). Policy instruments for green infrastructure. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *242*, 104929. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2023.104929

Zhang, F., & Qian, H. (2024). A comprehensive review of the environmental benefits of urban green spaces [Review of *A comprehensive review of the environmental benefits of urban green spaces*]. *Environmental Research*, *252*, 118837. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2024.118837

Zhuo, Z., Ye, J., Wang, Y., Chen, H., & Liang, B. (2025). Smart Cities, Smarter land Use? Unveiling the efficiency gains from China's digital urban transformation. *Ecological Indicators*, *171*, 113151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2025.113151

भारतीय भाषा, शिक्षा, साहित्य एवं शोध

ISSN 2321 – 9726

WWW.BHARTIYASHODH.COM

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY

ISSN – 2250 – 1959 (0) 2348 – 9367 (P)

WWW.IRJMST.COM

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF COMMERCE, ARTS AND SCIENCE

ISSN 2319 – 9202

WWW.CASIRJ.COM

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT SOCIOLOGY & HUMANITIES

ISSN 2277 – 9809 (0) 2348 - 9359 (P)

WWW.IRJMSH.COM

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF SCIENCE ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

ISSN 2454-3195 (online)

WWW.RJSET.COM

INTEGRATED RESEARCH JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT, SCIENCE AND INNOVATION

ISSN 2582-5445

WWW.IRJMSI.COM

JOURNAL OF LEGAL STUDIES,POLITICS AND ECONOMICS RESEARCH

WWW.JLPER.COM